

#033

Anthony Magnabosco

STREET

EPISTEMOLOGY

1
00:00:08,030 --> 00:00:05,420
welcome to tales from the rabbit hole

2
00:00:11,540 --> 00:00:08,040
I'm your host Mick West my guest today

3
00:00:13,820 --> 00:00:11,550
is Anthony McNabb Oscar Anthony is a

4
00:00:16,039 --> 00:00:13,830
promoter and practitioner of Street

5
00:00:18,130 --> 00:00:16,049
epistemology he is the executive

6
00:00:20,779 --> 00:00:18,140
director of Street epistemology

7
00:00:23,210 --> 00:00:20,789
International and his YouTube channel

8
00:00:25,250 --> 00:00:23,220
extensively documented interactions with

9
00:00:26,900 --> 00:00:25,260
hundreds of people where he asked them

10
00:00:28,310 --> 00:00:26,910
about their beliefs and helps them

11
00:00:31,970 --> 00:00:28,320
discover new ways of thinking about

12
00:00:34,430 --> 00:00:31,980
those beliefs via Street epistemology so

13
00:00:36,200 --> 00:00:34,440

Anthony welcome to tales from the rabbit

14

00:00:38,450 --> 00:00:36,210

hole thank you so much for having me I'm

15

00:00:41,180 --> 00:00:38,460

Mick yeah it's great great to have you

16

00:00:43,250 --> 00:00:41,190

on so yeah the site Street epistemology

17

00:00:45,290 --> 00:00:43,260

calm I've been reading reading through

18

00:00:46,459 --> 00:00:45,300

that that's it's a great resource

19

00:00:49,400 --> 00:00:46,469

there's lots of really useful

20

00:00:50,900 --> 00:00:49,410

information on there and it's coming

21

00:00:53,360 --> 00:00:50,910

along it's coming along it's a work in

22

00:00:54,740 --> 00:00:53,370

progress it's it's a yeah I think it's

23

00:00:57,229 --> 00:00:54,750

very good it's got some very nice like

24

00:00:58,849 --> 00:00:57,239

kind of short short explanations of what

25

00:01:00,709 --> 00:00:58,859

Street epistemology is and some longer

26
00:01:02,810 --> 00:01:00,719
ones and some more detailed things and

27
00:01:04,399 --> 00:01:02,820
on the first page of the resources

28
00:01:06,859 --> 00:01:04,409
section it's got this what is Street

29
00:01:10,039 --> 00:01:06,869
epistemology and it gives these four

30
00:01:11,060 --> 00:01:10,049
different shorter definitions there when

31
00:01:12,590 --> 00:01:11,070
people that knew what Street

32
00:01:14,870 --> 00:01:12,600
epistemology is what's the light they're

33
00:01:18,640 --> 00:01:14,880
the quick elevator pitch for Street

34
00:01:21,109 --> 00:01:18,650
epistemology I like to describe it as a

35
00:01:23,270 --> 00:01:21,119
dialogue where you use questions to

36
00:01:25,039 --> 00:01:23,280
explore somebody's claim to see how they

37
00:01:26,990 --> 00:01:25,049
concluded that it's true and that is my

38
00:01:28,580 --> 00:01:27,000

very quick you know first floor to the

39

00:01:29,840 --> 00:01:28,590

second floor elevator pitch but if we

40

00:01:32,690 --> 00:01:29,850

were going to the hundredth floor I

41

00:01:35,690 --> 00:01:32,700

might explain that it originated in the

42

00:01:37,370 --> 00:01:35,700

atheist community geared for atheists to

43

00:01:39,319 --> 00:01:37,380

engage with theists on their deeply held

44

00:01:40,429 --> 00:01:39,329

beliefs but we quickly realized that it

45

00:01:42,560 --> 00:01:40,439

could be used for all sorts of different

46

00:01:45,230 --> 00:01:42,570

claims including the claims that

47

00:01:47,990 --> 00:01:45,240

atheists make so it's it's a tool that

48

00:01:51,620 --> 00:01:48,000

can be used by pretty much anybody when

49

00:01:53,510 --> 00:01:51,630

you want to figure out how they how they

50

00:01:55,550 --> 00:01:53,520

determined that they can be so sure that

51
00:01:58,010 --> 00:01:55,560
it's true and it's a respectful

52
00:01:59,660 --> 00:01:58,020
engagement where you are giving people

53
00:02:01,700 --> 00:01:59,670
plenty of time to explain their position

54
00:02:03,889 --> 00:02:01,710
and their reasons and their method for

55
00:02:06,859 --> 00:02:03,899
verifying those reasons and it tends to

56
00:02:08,570 --> 00:02:06,869
end on a on a friendly note where a

57
00:02:10,400 --> 00:02:08,580
person is sometimes left with a pebble

58
00:02:12,480 --> 00:02:10,410
in their shoe so that they can go on

59
00:02:13,980 --> 00:02:12,490
their own and figure out

60
00:02:15,450 --> 00:02:13,990
do I really have good reasons for

61
00:02:16,860 --> 00:02:15,460
thinking that this is true sometimes

62
00:02:18,420 --> 00:02:16,870
people back off with our confidence

63
00:02:20,820 --> 00:02:18,430

sometimes they stay the same sometimes

64

00:02:23,070 --> 00:02:20,830

they increase their confidence sometimes

65

00:02:26,820 --> 00:02:23,080

they even completely abandon their view

66

00:02:29,250 --> 00:02:26,830

so it's a it's a dialectical technique

67

00:02:31,230 --> 00:02:29,260

that's I think it originated from the

68

00:02:34,250 --> 00:02:31,240

Socratic method the professor that came

69

00:02:36,960 --> 00:02:34,260

up with it is a philosophy professor and

70

00:02:39,230 --> 00:02:36,970

we've been taking it since he wrote that

71

00:02:41,640 --> 00:02:39,240

book in 2012 and I think we've really

72

00:02:43,980 --> 00:02:41,650

developed it into something quite

73

00:02:45,180 --> 00:02:43,990

powerful and I'm really excited to be on

74

00:02:46,410 --> 00:02:45,190

your show to talk to you more about it

75

00:02:48,450 --> 00:02:46,420

and see how we might be able to use it

76

00:02:49,770 --> 00:02:48,460

in different different venues things

77

00:02:51,060 --> 00:02:49,780

that maybe you're interested in using it

78

00:02:54,060 --> 00:02:51,070

for yeah now I'm really interested

79

00:02:56,300 --> 00:02:54,070

because I talk to a lot of people who

80

00:02:58,860 --> 00:02:56,310

are conspiracy theorists my background

81

00:03:00,390 --> 00:02:58,870

like doing this type of thing is all

82

00:03:03,090 --> 00:03:00,400

about conspiracy theories I started out

83

00:03:04,800 --> 00:03:03,100

doing the chemtrails conspiracy theory

84

00:03:06,450 --> 00:03:04,810

where people believe that the planes in

85

00:03:10,080 --> 00:03:06,460

the sky are spraying some kind of

86

00:03:11,610 --> 00:03:10,090

weather altering toxins and I talk to

87

00:03:13,800 --> 00:03:11,620

people who believe in 9/11 was an inside

88

00:03:16,200 --> 00:03:13,810

job and I've heard about Street

89

00:03:17,900 --> 00:03:16,210

epistemology before but I always kind of

90

00:03:22,410 --> 00:03:17,910

just thought it was you know primarily

91

00:03:24,090 --> 00:03:22,420

to do with atheism and I can see how you

92

00:03:25,680 --> 00:03:24,100

got the impression honestly because it

93

00:03:27,660 --> 00:03:25,690

started in the Atheist community the

94

00:03:29,910 --> 00:03:27,670

book that was written by Boghossian is

95

00:03:31,830 --> 00:03:29,920

called a manual for creating a theatre I

96

00:03:34,620 --> 00:03:31,840

completely understand how you how you

97

00:03:37,290 --> 00:03:34,630

and others have that impression yeah

98

00:03:38,760 --> 00:03:37,300

Peter Bogosian he you know he wrote this

99

00:03:40,680 --> 00:03:38,770

this book and the first chapter is

100

00:03:43,320 --> 00:03:40,690

called Street epistemology and it

101

00:03:45,930 --> 00:03:43,330

basically lays out the the various

102

00:03:47,700 --> 00:03:45,940

techniques that you use for that and I

103

00:03:50,640 --> 00:03:47,710

recently read his his second book and

104

00:03:52,890 --> 00:03:50,650

blues his second book which is how to

105

00:03:55,110 --> 00:03:52,900

have impossible conversations right

106

00:03:57,390 --> 00:03:55,120

which can right build upon this but I

107

00:03:59,610 --> 00:03:57,400

think it's perhaps steering a little bit

108

00:04:02,640 --> 00:03:59,620

away from the atheism type of thing and

109

00:04:03,750 --> 00:04:02,650

instead of yes definitely yeah yeah I

110

00:04:06,180 --> 00:04:03,760

would definitely say so

111

00:04:09,840 --> 00:04:06,190

yeah and he's you know trying to I guess

112

00:04:13,350 --> 00:04:09,850

extend this this methodology into things

113

00:04:16,860 --> 00:04:13,360

like like politics yeah especially

114

00:04:19,920 --> 00:04:16,870

politics politics social justice yeah

115

00:04:21,270 --> 00:04:19,930

political claims and it's it's neat that

116

00:04:25,290 --> 00:04:21,280

the author that originated Street

117

00:04:26,129 --> 00:04:25,300

epistemology has recognized the the the

118

00:04:28,709 --> 00:04:26,139

diversity of

119

00:04:30,959 --> 00:04:28,719

suppose the the flexibility of the tool

120

00:04:34,050 --> 00:04:30,969

that it can be used in those in those

121

00:04:36,899 --> 00:04:34,060

domains yeah and I actually used the

122

00:04:39,240 --> 00:04:36,909

term epistemology in in my book escaping

123

00:04:42,059 --> 00:04:39,250

the rabbit hole because it's used within

124

00:04:45,059 --> 00:04:42,069

the academic community for talking about

125

00:04:47,640 --> 00:04:45,069

conspiracy theories and they they use

126

00:04:51,300 --> 00:04:47,650

the term crippled epistemology which is

127

00:04:55,490 --> 00:04:51,310

something that Cass Sunstein popularized

128

00:04:57,990 --> 00:04:55,500

this term and he defines it as being a

129

00:05:01,260 --> 00:04:58,000

beliefs based on a very limited number

130

00:05:04,080 --> 00:05:01,270

of information sources so if for example

131

00:05:06,450 --> 00:05:04,090

somebody only watched say Alex Jones all

132

00:05:09,540 --> 00:05:06,460

the time then they they have a belief

133

00:05:10,980 --> 00:05:09,550

system that's based around you know what

134

00:05:13,679 --> 00:05:10,990

Alex Jones tells them and they don't

135

00:05:15,809 --> 00:05:13,689

have this broader perspective and does

136

00:05:18,119 --> 00:05:15,819

that concept of a crippled epistemology

137

00:05:19,679 --> 00:05:18,129

is that is that the same type of thing

138

00:05:22,920 --> 00:05:19,689

that you're talking about in Street

139

00:05:24,360 --> 00:05:22,930

epistemology well I've never heard the

140

00:05:26,040 --> 00:05:24,370

term before and based on your

141

00:05:28,409 --> 00:05:26,050

description I would say what you're

142

00:05:30,180 --> 00:05:28,419

describing is bias and we definitely see

143

00:05:32,309 --> 00:05:30,190

bias when we're engaging with people

144

00:05:35,010 --> 00:05:32,319

I've recently uploaded a couple of

145

00:05:36,510 --> 00:05:35,020

conversations with missionaries from the

146

00:05:38,790 --> 00:05:36,520

LDS Church they happen to be strolling

147

00:05:40,920 --> 00:05:38,800

by and we talked to them I talked to

148

00:05:45,029 --> 00:05:40,930

them and one of the concepts that came

149

00:05:47,070 --> 00:05:45,039

up was are you are you looking at things

150

00:05:50,070 --> 00:05:47,080

through a filtered lens are you biased

151
00:05:52,769 --> 00:05:50,080
perhaps are you favoring this view that

152
00:05:54,959 --> 00:05:52,779
you think is true because you want the

153
00:05:57,360 --> 00:05:54,969
belief to be true and so that's

154
00:05:58,800 --> 00:05:57,370
definitely a factor when we engage with

155
00:05:59,969 --> 00:05:58,810
people and something that we notice and

156
00:06:02,579 --> 00:05:59,979
it's even something that we talk about

157
00:06:04,860 --> 00:06:02,589
but we don't accuse somebody of it we

158
00:06:06,300 --> 00:06:04,870
don't say hi that's you just you only

159
00:06:08,450 --> 00:06:06,310
have one source for all your information

160
00:06:12,119 --> 00:06:08,460
and you know you're you're a fox news

161
00:06:14,309 --> 00:06:12,129
junkie or something like that that

162
00:06:15,689 --> 00:06:14,319
likely won't be effective in helping the

163
00:06:17,279 --> 00:06:15,699

person take another look at their views

164

00:06:19,829 --> 00:06:17,289

and how they concluded that it's true

165

00:06:22,140 --> 00:06:19,839

and one thing that we might say is well

166

00:06:23,939 --> 00:06:22,150

how do you how do you find the

167

00:06:25,829 --> 00:06:23,949

information that is leading you to your

168

00:06:27,929 --> 00:06:25,839

conclusions have you considered other

169

00:06:29,429 --> 00:06:27,939

sources let's say that you discovered

170

00:06:30,899 --> 00:06:29,439

another source how would you go about

171

00:06:32,100 --> 00:06:30,909

vetting that to see if that would be

172

00:06:35,579 --> 00:06:32,110

something that you'd want to add to your

173

00:06:37,110 --> 00:06:35,589

mix or maybe get rid of it so what we're

174

00:06:38,760 --> 00:06:37,120

finding in Street epistemology is that

175

00:06:40,499 --> 00:06:38,770

when you

176
00:06:43,350 --> 00:06:40,509
you stop talking about the actual claim

177
00:06:46,260 --> 00:06:43,360
or the conspiracy or even the quality of

178
00:06:47,520 --> 00:06:46,270
their news sources well you actually

179
00:06:48,930 --> 00:06:47,530
want to talk about the quality of their

180
00:06:51,990 --> 00:06:48,940
news sources but you don't want to be

181
00:06:53,790 --> 00:06:52,000
accusatory or dismissive because you

182
00:06:55,770 --> 00:06:53,800
don't find it compelling they find it

183
00:06:58,379 --> 00:06:55,780
compelling so it's a better use of your

184
00:07:00,499 --> 00:06:58,389
time to explore how they did how they

185
00:07:03,570 --> 00:07:00,509
determined that that's a good

186
00:07:07,439 --> 00:07:03,580
trustworthy reliable source and that

187
00:07:08,909 --> 00:07:07,449
acts that act of exploration helps the

188
00:07:11,129 --> 00:07:08,919

person take another look at their view

189

00:07:13,020 --> 00:07:11,139

through their own lens and that's the

190

00:07:14,550 --> 00:07:13,030

beauty of this approach is that you're

191

00:07:17,100 --> 00:07:14,560

using their own words their own

192

00:07:19,290 --> 00:07:17,110

definitions the sources that they're

193

00:07:21,120 --> 00:07:19,300

using to come to their conclusions so

194

00:07:24,089 --> 00:07:21,130

people like to feel listened to and

195

00:07:25,770 --> 00:07:24,099

heard and respected and that's why these

196

00:07:28,379 --> 00:07:25,780

talks usually tend to end on a very good

197

00:07:30,149 --> 00:07:28,389

note because you're not misrepresenting

198

00:07:32,010 --> 00:07:30,159

your conversation partner and that's

199

00:07:33,809 --> 00:07:32,020

extremely valuable when you're talking

200

00:07:36,330 --> 00:07:33,819

to somebody who might be deeply

201
00:07:38,999 --> 00:07:36,340
entrenched in an interview that we might

202
00:07:40,830 --> 00:07:39,009
personally find horrendous but if you're

203
00:07:42,839 --> 00:07:40,840
interested in helping them take another

204
00:07:44,430 --> 00:07:42,849
look at their views if you have empathy

205
00:07:46,680 --> 00:07:44,440
for their position or at least for them

206
00:07:48,959 --> 00:07:46,690
as a human being might be a better way

207
00:07:51,390 --> 00:07:48,969
of phrasing it this approach might

208
00:07:53,100 --> 00:07:51,400
really appeal to you and I hope your

209
00:07:54,629 --> 00:07:53,110
listeners look into it further because I

210
00:07:57,540 --> 00:07:54,639
think that might be quite surprised at

211
00:07:59,610 --> 00:07:57,550
how effective it really could be yeah

212
00:08:02,189 --> 00:07:59,620
well I feel like in a way like I

213
00:08:06,089 --> 00:08:02,199

wouldn't say independently rediscovered

214

00:08:07,620 --> 00:08:06,099

it but the way I evolved personally to

215

00:08:11,339 --> 00:08:07,630

talking to people in conspiracy theories

216

00:08:14,100 --> 00:08:11,349

did kind of eventually come to this very

217

00:08:16,580 --> 00:08:14,110

kind of gentle respectful way of talking

218

00:08:19,499 --> 00:08:16,590

to people I think when I started out

219

00:08:21,360 --> 00:08:19,509

debunking people on the internet like

220

00:08:22,469 --> 00:08:21,370

debunking conspiracy theories saying why

221

00:08:25,800 --> 00:08:22,479

they're false it's very much like

222

00:08:27,570 --> 00:08:25,810

figuring out what the error is in in

223

00:08:30,920 --> 00:08:27,580

someone's claim and then saying yeah

224

00:08:32,909 --> 00:08:30,930

this is wrong because you know a B and C

225

00:08:35,670 --> 00:08:32,919

which as you know doesn't always work

226

00:08:38,310 --> 00:08:35,680

very well you get this kind of backfire

227

00:08:40,649 --> 00:08:38,320

effect sometimes where if you tell

228

00:08:42,649 --> 00:08:40,659

people why they are wrong then they're

229

00:08:46,650 --> 00:08:42,659

just gonna double down and fight more

230

00:08:49,079 --> 00:08:46,660

yes and yes we try to we try to avoid

231

00:08:52,050 --> 00:08:49,089

telling people you're wrong you're

232

00:08:53,160 --> 00:08:52,060

stupid you are you have a misperception

233

00:08:54,900 --> 00:08:53,170

of reality

234

00:08:57,509 --> 00:08:54,910

we asked them how they determined that

235

00:09:00,720 --> 00:08:57,519

they're correct and by that simple shift

236

00:09:03,569 --> 00:09:00,730

of approach you're gonna probably have a

237

00:09:05,370 --> 00:09:03,579

lot better conversations however it is

238

00:09:06,600 --> 00:09:05,380

difficult to do it I don't know if you

239

00:09:09,389 --> 00:09:06,610

noticed that when you made that switch

240

00:09:11,370 --> 00:09:09,399

yourself if I'm actually kind of curious

241

00:09:12,930 --> 00:09:11,380

was it was it a gradual transition for

242

00:09:15,389 --> 00:09:12,940

you was it difficult I found it

243

00:09:16,920 --> 00:09:15,399

difficult when I switched from debating

244

00:09:18,180 --> 00:09:16,930

and arguing and giving people facts to

245

00:09:20,639 --> 00:09:18,190

actually listening to them and

246

00:09:22,650 --> 00:09:20,649

encouraging to them to explain how they

247

00:09:24,870 --> 00:09:22,660

got to their view yeah it was actually a

248

00:09:28,050 --> 00:09:24,880

pretty gradual process there was a few

249

00:09:32,879 --> 00:09:28,060

key moments I think one of which was I I

250

00:09:37,379 --> 00:09:32,889

saw a talk by by Phil Plait called don't

251

00:09:39,810 --> 00:09:37,389

be a dick we're seeing that yeah it it

252

00:09:42,840 --> 00:09:39,820

was something like Toki gave at a

253

00:09:45,030 --> 00:09:42,850

skeptics conference and the skeptics

254

00:09:47,250 --> 00:09:45,040

it's kind of this atheism there but it's

255

00:09:51,060 --> 00:09:47,260

also about like addressing pseudoscience

256

00:09:52,829 --> 00:09:51,070

and things like alternative medicine

257

00:09:54,750 --> 00:09:52,839

near false beliefs conspiracy theories

258

00:09:56,990 --> 00:09:54,760

things like that and he was saying that

259

00:09:59,310 --> 00:09:57,000

you've got to be respectful to people

260

00:10:01,290 --> 00:09:59,320

and that he works better yeah it's just

261

00:10:03,210 --> 00:10:01,300

simply that it works better and he

262

00:10:06,480 --> 00:10:03,220

actually got some pushback from this

263

00:10:08,819 --> 00:10:06,490

because people felt a bit upset that

264

00:10:10,949 --> 00:10:08,829

they weren't anyway allows them to

265

00:10:14,490 --> 00:10:10,959

express their their anger and

266

00:10:16,350 --> 00:10:14,500

frustration that oh gosh I could

267

00:10:18,180 --> 00:10:16,360

definitely relate to that and after

268

00:10:20,069 --> 00:10:18,190

Ralph to review that talk that he gave

269

00:10:21,420 --> 00:10:20,079

because now I'm thinking I'm wondering

270

00:10:22,920 --> 00:10:21,430

where he gave that if it was do you

271

00:10:24,449 --> 00:10:22,930

remember it was it QED conned by a

272

00:10:25,800 --> 00:10:24,459

chance I think it sounds like what

273

00:10:29,670 --> 00:10:25,810

you're describing I think he was at the

274

00:10:31,500 --> 00:10:29,680

amazing meeting which is James Randi the

275

00:10:34,430 --> 00:10:31,510

old conference back in Las Vegas

276

00:10:37,139 --> 00:10:34,440

probably about 10 years ago now I think

277

00:10:40,829 --> 00:10:37,149

it doesn't surprise me that he received

278

00:10:43,199 --> 00:10:40,839

some kickback on that because a lot of

279

00:10:45,090 --> 00:10:43,209

people think that you have to use the

280

00:10:46,860 --> 00:10:45,100

heavy hammer approach and bash people

281

00:10:49,280 --> 00:10:46,870

over the head to knock some sense into

282

00:10:51,540 --> 00:10:49,290

them to see things your way mm-hm and

283

00:10:53,730 --> 00:10:51,550

what we're finding especially when we

284

00:10:55,290 --> 00:10:53,740

look at psychology and people involved

285

00:10:57,120 --> 00:10:55,300

in motivational interviewing and even

286

00:10:59,189 --> 00:10:57,130

even the progress that we've made in

287

00:11:01,769 --> 00:10:59,199

Street epistemology since it came out

288

00:11:03,630 --> 00:11:01,779

and in 2012 it doesn't seem to work

289

00:11:06,300 --> 00:11:03,640

people tend to become more entrenched in

290

00:11:09,330 --> 00:11:06,310

those views and sometimes

291

00:11:12,690 --> 00:11:09,340

arguing with a person and ridiculing

292

00:11:14,640 --> 00:11:12,700

them we can sometimes get a benefit from

293

00:11:16,800 --> 00:11:14,650

doing that we can feel good from tearing

294

00:11:19,770 --> 00:11:16,810

somebody down right it could be even

295

00:11:22,380 --> 00:11:19,780

entertaining to be quite honest and it's

296

00:11:23,580 --> 00:11:22,390

easier it's just easier to say let me

297

00:11:25,230 --> 00:11:23,590

just send you a link that shows that

298

00:11:25,890 --> 00:11:25,240

you're wrong and I'll be done with you

299

00:11:28,650 --> 00:11:25,900

mm-hmm

300

00:11:31,710 --> 00:11:28,660

but it's probably not gonna land with

301
00:11:33,090 --> 00:11:31,720
them so that might be one of the reasons

302
00:11:35,430 --> 00:11:33,100
why you're getting some pushback I think

303
00:11:36,870 --> 00:11:35,440
you know it's enjoyable and it's it's

304
00:11:39,960 --> 00:11:36,880
easier than I think it so that's a

305
00:11:42,600 --> 00:11:39,970
little harder to set aside your ego here

306
00:11:44,100 --> 00:11:42,610
a person out and then figure out what

307
00:11:45,570 --> 00:11:44,110
might be a good questions to

308
00:11:48,480 --> 00:11:45,580
respectfully challenge them and give

309
00:11:50,580 --> 00:11:48,490
them time to think about it it's it's

310
00:11:54,960 --> 00:11:50,590
counterintuitive this approach it is

311
00:11:56,820 --> 00:11:54,970
yeah and people I think people think

312
00:11:58,200 --> 00:11:56,830
that you should just be able to explain

313
00:12:00,180 --> 00:11:58,210

to someone why they are wrong because

314

00:12:02,070 --> 00:12:00,190

here from from your perspective as like

315

00:12:04,860 --> 00:12:02,080

you know a rational skeptic or whatever

316

00:12:06,720 --> 00:12:04,870

you consider yourself to be you think

317

00:12:09,240 --> 00:12:06,730

that you know what the actual answer is

318

00:12:11,340 --> 00:12:09,250

and all it is is a simple matter of

319

00:12:13,620 --> 00:12:11,350

explaining it to people and then when

320

00:12:15,780 --> 00:12:13,630

they don't accept your explanation it

321

00:12:17,850 --> 00:12:15,790

gets frustrating and then people get

322

00:12:20,520 --> 00:12:17,860

they tend to get angry of these people

323

00:12:22,680 --> 00:12:20,530

and then they yeah but that works both

324

00:12:24,920 --> 00:12:22,690

ways as well I think I think both yes

325

00:12:27,450 --> 00:12:24,930

exactly I think both parties can can

326

00:12:28,950 --> 00:12:27,460

it's detrimental to both parties that

327

00:12:30,330 --> 00:12:28,960

are involved all the parties are that

328

00:12:34,260 --> 00:12:30,340

are involved in the conversation because

329

00:12:36,240 --> 00:12:34,270

if I'm giving you information or

330

00:12:37,740 --> 00:12:36,250

evidence that I think well that I found

331

00:12:40,110 --> 00:12:37,750

compelling that I think you should find

332

00:12:42,930 --> 00:12:40,120

compelling but it's not the reason that

333

00:12:45,630 --> 00:12:42,940

your belief is based on we're likely

334

00:12:47,400 --> 00:12:45,640

wasting our time or if I'm arguing them

335

00:12:50,460 --> 00:12:47,410

with let's say I'm talking with a

336

00:12:51,660 --> 00:12:50,470

conspiracy theorist or you know somebody

337

00:12:54,540 --> 00:12:51,670

making a claim just keep it real

338

00:12:56,670 --> 00:12:54,550

generally suppose if I don't figure out

339

00:12:58,440 --> 00:12:56,680

what their real reason is by asking them

340

00:12:59,550 --> 00:12:58,450

questions and listening to them and then

341

00:13:01,890 --> 00:12:59,560

double checking to make sure that that

342

00:13:03,510 --> 00:13:01,900

really is the reason we could very well

343

00:13:06,570 --> 00:13:03,520

be talking past each other and I see

344

00:13:08,190 --> 00:13:06,580

that time and time again in fact even

345

00:13:11,100 --> 00:13:08,200

though I've been doing this for eight

346

00:13:12,570 --> 00:13:11,110

years now or so the most recent video

347

00:13:14,010 --> 00:13:12,580

that I uploaded with two Mormon

348

00:13:15,600 --> 00:13:14,020

missionaries there was a point where I

349

00:13:17,430 --> 00:13:15,610

think we were completely talking past

350

00:13:19,230 --> 00:13:17,440

each other I think we had different

351

00:13:21,510 --> 00:13:19,240

impressions of what the word

352

00:13:22,650 --> 00:13:21,520

meant now it never occurred to me in all

353

00:13:24,690 --> 00:13:22,660

this time that there might be a

354

00:13:27,090 --> 00:13:24,700

misunderstanding of what of what a good

355

00:13:29,040 --> 00:13:27,100

sufficient test is but we were just

356

00:13:31,920 --> 00:13:29,050

talking past each other and it's I was

357

00:13:33,329 --> 00:13:31,930

just marveling after the fact that even

358

00:13:36,090 --> 00:13:33,339

after having spent so much time on it

359

00:13:38,190 --> 00:13:36,100

it's still quite likely that you're

360

00:13:39,810 --> 00:13:38,200

gonna be misunderstanding each other

361

00:13:41,610 --> 00:13:39,820

even even despite your best intentions

362

00:13:43,889 --> 00:13:41,620

yeah this is something I see all the

363

00:13:46,620 --> 00:13:43,899

time is that I'm talking to someone and

364

00:13:48,389 --> 00:13:46,630

it takes a while before I even realize

365

00:13:52,710 --> 00:13:48,399

that we're talking about different

366

00:13:54,120 --> 00:13:52,720

things you mean this is why you don't

367

00:13:56,100 --> 00:13:54,130

understand oh this is why I don't why

368

00:13:58,050 --> 00:13:56,110

we're not understanding each other is

369

00:14:00,090 --> 00:13:58,060

they were actually using like you know

370

00:14:02,310 --> 00:14:00,100

the same word for four different

371

00:14:03,210 --> 00:14:02,320

meanings I think this is something yeah

372

00:14:05,730 --> 00:14:03,220

Peter pegasion

373

00:14:07,850 --> 00:14:05,740

talks about in is in how to have

374

00:14:10,829 --> 00:14:07,860

impossible conversations as a people

375

00:14:13,740 --> 00:14:10,839

have different opinions about even like

376

00:14:16,320 --> 00:14:13,750

fairly simple words like justice or one

377

00:14:18,030 --> 00:14:16,330

truth yes and things like that and these

378

00:14:19,380 --> 00:14:18,040

are complex words that need to be

379

00:14:21,810 --> 00:14:19,390

unpacked and if we're not taking the

380

00:14:23,400 --> 00:14:21,820

time to unpack these the meaning of

381

00:14:26,250 --> 00:14:23,410

these words with our conversation

382

00:14:28,440 --> 00:14:26,260

partner we might get lucky and you might

383

00:14:30,420 --> 00:14:28,450

be talking about the same meaning of the

384

00:14:31,500 --> 00:14:30,430

word but very likely we're not and we're

385

00:14:32,880 --> 00:14:31,510

gonna be talking to past each other and

386

00:14:34,860 --> 00:14:32,890

that's just gonna result in frustration

387

00:14:36,660 --> 00:14:34,870

or making you think that you just

388

00:14:37,889 --> 00:14:36,670

completely dominated them and won and

389

00:14:39,540 --> 00:14:37,899

they're just scratching their head like

390

00:14:42,269 --> 00:14:39,550

I don't even know what that dude was

391

00:14:44,430 --> 00:14:42,279

talking about yes because they things

392

00:14:46,650 --> 00:14:44,440

you're telling them they think are the

393

00:14:48,329 --> 00:14:46,660

actual the actual facts from a different

394

00:14:51,030 --> 00:14:48,339

perspective like you know some of I

395

00:14:52,620 --> 00:14:51,040

talked to UFO people quite a lot people

396

00:14:54,720 --> 00:14:52,630

who believe that that they've had a

397

00:14:57,690 --> 00:14:54,730

personal experience with UFOs or that

398

00:14:59,040 --> 00:14:57,700

there's evidence of UFOs out there in

399

00:15:01,430 --> 00:14:59,050

some ways it's kind of like a religious

400

00:15:04,199 --> 00:15:01,440

thing because they have these personal

401
00:15:07,470 --> 00:15:04,209
revelations of truth in that they see a

402
00:15:10,350 --> 00:15:07,480
UFO or they get abducted by aliens and

403
00:15:12,690 --> 00:15:10,360
you know they they have similar types of

404
00:15:15,630 --> 00:15:12,700
usages of words where they mean a

405
00:15:20,970 --> 00:15:15,640
different thing like they will say like

406
00:15:22,710 --> 00:15:20,980
the word real they say UFOs are real but

407
00:15:23,970 --> 00:15:22,720
what they mean is kind of different to

408
00:15:25,889 --> 00:15:23,980
what other people mean like when there

409
00:15:29,400 --> 00:15:25,899
recently there was these three videos

410
00:15:30,900 --> 00:15:29,410
that were released kind of indirectly by

411
00:15:32,430 --> 00:15:30,910
the US Navy that's supposedly showing

412
00:15:35,070 --> 00:15:32,440
UFOs and then

413
00:15:37,560 --> 00:15:35,080

yeah these are these are real videos and

414

00:15:41,700 --> 00:15:37,570

then the press goes and says oh the Navy

415

00:15:44,220 --> 00:15:41,710

admits UFOs are real the Navy was saying

416

00:15:46,440 --> 00:15:44,230

was that the videos were real actual

417

00:15:48,260 --> 00:15:46,450

videos from the Navy of objects that

418

00:15:51,090 --> 00:15:48,270

they they didn't immediately identify

419

00:15:53,940 --> 00:15:51,100

whereas people in the UFO community who

420

00:15:56,070 --> 00:15:53,950

have this this strong desire for you

421

00:15:58,380 --> 00:15:56,080

know alien visitors to be real they

422

00:16:01,350 --> 00:15:58,390

essentially take it as meaning that the

423

00:16:03,290 --> 00:16:01,360

Navy is saying that alien spaceship are

424

00:16:05,610 --> 00:16:03,300

visiting us and we have video of them

425

00:16:09,210 --> 00:16:05,620

see it's very very different this

426

00:16:11,340 --> 00:16:09,220

perspective we really do and the word

427

00:16:15,960 --> 00:16:11,350

real it's very similar to the word true

428

00:16:17,550 --> 00:16:15,970

or truth that we often talk past each

429

00:16:19,350 --> 00:16:17,560

other on that word to where a lot of

430

00:16:22,050 --> 00:16:19,360

people say well it's my truth it's true

431

00:16:23,580 --> 00:16:22,060

for me and what I think they tend and

432

00:16:25,500 --> 00:16:23,590

you can usually uncover this by asking

433

00:16:27,770 --> 00:16:25,510

them questions or a thought experiment

434

00:16:30,180 --> 00:16:27,780

that we often do in Street epistemology

435

00:16:33,210 --> 00:16:30,190

you often realize that they're talking

436

00:16:36,390 --> 00:16:33,220

about a subjective opinion it's real to

437

00:16:37,680 --> 00:16:36,400

me because I think it's the case or it's

438

00:16:39,390 --> 00:16:37,690

just I can't think of any other

439

00:16:41,790 --> 00:16:39,400

explanation and therefore it's my truth

440

00:16:44,970 --> 00:16:41,800

and they're not using they're not using

441

00:16:46,440 --> 00:16:44,980

that word to mean objective fact mmm-hmm

442

00:16:48,840 --> 00:16:46,450

so sometimes you even have to just set

443

00:16:50,520 --> 00:16:48,850

the belief aside and have this broader

444

00:16:53,640 --> 00:16:50,530

discussion about what they mean by the

445

00:16:55,440 --> 00:16:53,650

word true or false and what's the

446

00:16:56,940 --> 00:16:55,450

difference between an opinion in fact is

447

00:16:59,190 --> 00:16:56,950

this view that you have more of an

448

00:17:01,800 --> 00:16:59,200

opinion there's more of a fact and when

449

00:17:04,699 --> 00:17:01,810

you start sussing it out you can really

450

00:17:08,189 --> 00:17:04,709

uncover some problematic reasons and

451
00:17:09,540 --> 00:17:08,199
foundations I suppose for people holding

452
00:17:11,370 --> 00:17:09,550
these views but it's crucial that you

453
00:17:12,870 --> 00:17:11,380
uncover it because if you don't you're

454
00:17:14,790 --> 00:17:12,880
gonna be having this this upper level

455
00:17:16,890 --> 00:17:14,800
talk when there's a serious fundamental

456
00:17:18,750 --> 00:17:16,900
issues down at the bottom and in Street

457
00:17:21,030 --> 00:17:18,760
epistemology we like to ask questions to

458
00:17:23,100 --> 00:17:21,040
get to the foundation to figure out

459
00:17:24,630 --> 00:17:23,110
what's really propping it all up and it

460
00:17:25,980 --> 00:17:24,640
seems like people enjoy doing it too

461
00:17:27,929 --> 00:17:25,990
that's I don't know if how many videos

462
00:17:29,700 --> 00:17:27,939
you watched of mine or others who are

463
00:17:31,340 --> 00:17:29,710

doing it or observed conversations maybe

464

00:17:34,770 --> 00:17:31,350

online where people are doing this but

465

00:17:37,110 --> 00:17:34,780

people tend to enjoy the process yeah

466

00:17:39,450 --> 00:17:37,120

taking another look at their views yeah

467

00:17:41,280 --> 00:17:39,460

I noticed that on you you saw that you

468

00:17:42,120 --> 00:17:41,290

come to somebody like at a university

469

00:17:45,419 --> 00:17:42,130

campus or something

470

00:17:45,900 --> 00:17:45,429

and you say like can I do three minutes

471

00:17:48,540 --> 00:17:45,910

he's

472

00:17:50,790 --> 00:17:48,550

you do know three-minute interviews I

473

00:17:53,250 --> 00:17:50,800

started a five I just bumped it down to

474

00:17:54,510 --> 00:17:53,260

four I mean there are sometimes listen I

475

00:17:55,950 --> 00:17:54,520

just have three minutes can you do it in

476

00:17:59,070 --> 00:17:55,960

three I'm like I'll give it a shot

477

00:18:02,610 --> 00:17:59,080

but regardless of that's more of a sort

478

00:18:04,050 --> 00:18:02,620

of a novelty respecting there it's a

479

00:18:05,220 --> 00:18:04,060

starting point to say listen I respect

480

00:18:07,260 --> 00:18:05,230

your time I understand this is a little

481

00:18:08,550 --> 00:18:07,270

unusual you can bail at any time and you

482

00:18:10,050 --> 00:18:08,560

can go after it you know whatever I'm

483

00:18:11,460 --> 00:18:10,060

not holding you here mm-hmm

484

00:18:13,410 --> 00:18:11,470

but what tends to happen is when the

485

00:18:15,180 --> 00:18:13,420

timer goes off even if many times I

486

00:18:18,120 --> 00:18:15,190

forget to set the timer literally forget

487

00:18:19,380 --> 00:18:18,130

it but if I do set the timer and it goes

488

00:18:21,750 --> 00:18:19,390

off after a certain amount of time

489

00:18:23,610 --> 00:18:21,760

people usually just blow it off and say

490

00:18:24,060 --> 00:18:23,620

let's just keep going I'm having a good

491

00:18:26,100 --> 00:18:24,070

time

492

00:18:28,260 --> 00:18:26,110

you keep asking me questions or I don't

493

00:18:31,500 --> 00:18:28,270

ask you some questions back they they're

494

00:18:35,100 --> 00:18:31,510

it's so funny like after a three minute

495

00:18:36,750 --> 00:18:35,110

engagement with somebody if you showed

496

00:18:38,190 --> 00:18:36,760

respect and that you're listening to

497

00:18:39,810 --> 00:18:38,200

them and really trying to understand

498

00:18:41,550 --> 00:18:39,820

them they're gonna probably stick around

499

00:18:44,430 --> 00:18:41,560

for a while to explore these things with

500

00:18:46,500 --> 00:18:44,440

you yeah I think a lot of people they

501
00:18:49,200 --> 00:18:46,510
feel very confident in their beliefs and

502
00:18:52,320 --> 00:18:49,210
I think in some ways their motivations

503
00:18:55,020 --> 00:18:52,330
for talking to you is to try to convert

504
00:18:57,120 --> 00:18:55,030
you in a way and they feel like they're

505
00:19:00,510 --> 00:18:57,130
trying to explain their position to you

506
00:19:03,540 --> 00:19:00,520
some of them then some of them yeah do

507
00:19:06,120 --> 00:19:03,550
they do you often see people have

508
00:19:08,250 --> 00:19:06,130
genuine doubt come up in the

509
00:19:09,420 --> 00:19:08,260
conversations or do you you is it much

510
00:19:12,780 --> 00:19:09,430
more often you just kind of you know

511
00:19:15,210 --> 00:19:12,790
planting a seed or it's very common to

512
00:19:18,090 --> 00:19:15,220
see doubt or at the very least

513
00:19:22,580 --> 00:19:18,100

questioning yeah when you when you use

514

00:19:26,510 --> 00:19:22,590

this approach it's not it's it's an odd

515

00:19:28,920 --> 00:19:26,520

interview where somebody doesn't go away

516

00:19:29,430 --> 00:19:28,930

thinking about well I don't know what

517

00:19:32,430 --> 00:19:29,440

they're thinking

518

00:19:34,590 --> 00:19:32,440

but they seem to be reporting and

519

00:19:35,910 --> 00:19:34,600

showing indications that they're really

520

00:19:37,980 --> 00:19:35,920

contemplating the questions that I'm

521

00:19:39,060 --> 00:19:37,990

asking them and I see that as a valuable

522

00:19:41,820 --> 00:19:39,070

thing that's extremely valuable

523

00:19:43,710 --> 00:19:41,830

especially in an age where we we talk

524

00:19:45,960 --> 00:19:43,720

past each other we argue we're not

525

00:19:48,990 --> 00:19:45,970

listening we're trying to figure out how

526

00:19:50,940 --> 00:19:49,000

I can knock your knock you over

527

00:19:52,590 --> 00:19:50,950

personally possibly even not just your

528

00:19:54,840 --> 00:19:52,600

belief I just want to I just want to

529

00:19:56,640 --> 00:19:54,850

kick you in the fina in the teeth and

530

00:19:58,080 --> 00:19:56,650

that's a that's of what I mentioned

531

00:19:59,890 --> 00:19:58,090

before it's a real problem and that

532

00:20:02,110 --> 00:19:59,900

might be one of the reasons why

533

00:20:03,700 --> 00:20:02,120

people seem to be gravitating towards

534

00:20:06,460 --> 00:20:03,710

this like people such as yourself who

535

00:20:08,500 --> 00:20:06,470

have shows who who are noticing this

536

00:20:09,899 --> 00:20:08,510

word maybe coming up more video examples

537

00:20:12,760 --> 00:20:09,909

that are crossing your feet or something

538

00:20:14,950 --> 00:20:12,770

it's gaining momentum we've been working

539

00:20:16,960 --> 00:20:14,960

at this for seven or eight years now and

540

00:20:18,899 --> 00:20:16,970

there's a vibrant community of people

541

00:20:22,390 --> 00:20:18,909

and around the world who are trying

542

00:20:22,720 --> 00:20:22,400

different ways to build this and make it

543

00:20:28,510 --> 00:20:22,730

better

544

00:20:29,289 --> 00:20:28,520

and it's extremely rewarding and fun to

545

00:20:31,930 --> 00:20:29,299

be a part of it

546

00:20:35,169 --> 00:20:31,940

yeah I kind of feel the same way in in

547

00:20:37,000 --> 00:20:35,179

terms of how you know things are moving

548

00:20:39,630 --> 00:20:37,010

with let's say the skeptical movement or

549

00:20:42,010 --> 00:20:39,640

the just in general in the in the media

550

00:20:44,799 --> 00:20:42,020

you know people are recognizing that

551
00:20:49,930 --> 00:20:44,809
you've got to have actual conversations

552
00:20:52,060 --> 00:20:49,940
with people and I think it is it is

553
00:20:54,010 --> 00:20:52,070
something that you know I wouldn't say

554
00:20:55,590 --> 00:20:54,020
like it's time has come but it's it's

555
00:20:59,110 --> 00:20:55,600
certainly something I think is it's

556
00:21:01,779 --> 00:20:59,120
increasingly common and increasingly

557
00:21:03,430 --> 00:21:01,789
important that that we have these these

558
00:21:05,230 --> 00:21:03,440
respectful conversations between people

559
00:21:07,299 --> 00:21:05,240
you know people are visualizing because

560
00:21:10,659 --> 00:21:07,309
because of the increased political

561
00:21:12,909 --> 00:21:10,669
polarization within the country the you

562
00:21:15,430 --> 00:21:12,919
know it's it's it's problematic and it

563
00:21:17,289 --> 00:21:15,440

can do some bad things down down the

564

00:21:19,480 --> 00:21:17,299

road and that we wanted we want to do

565

00:21:21,610 --> 00:21:19,490

something about it now and this is the

566

00:21:23,830 --> 00:21:21,620

way to do it there are people who are

567

00:21:28,240 --> 00:21:23,840

noticing the problems that we're raising

568

00:21:30,399 --> 00:21:28,250

and they they some people feel helpless

569

00:21:31,659 --> 00:21:30,409

some people feel apathetic it just is

570

00:21:32,680 --> 00:21:31,669

this is just too big of a problem I

571

00:21:34,720 --> 00:21:32,690

don't I just don't know what to do I'm

572

00:21:36,760 --> 00:21:34,730

just gonna turn on the Kardashians and

573

00:21:38,200 --> 00:21:36,770

watch it but there are people who are

574

00:21:41,350 --> 00:21:38,210

concerned about it people like yourself

575

00:21:43,480 --> 00:21:41,360

it seems and and myself and others sure

576
00:21:46,240 --> 00:21:43,490
who you just had on your show like there

577
00:21:49,120 --> 00:21:46,250
are people who want to try to improve

578
00:21:51,399 --> 00:21:49,130
the world and this seems to be a decent

579
00:21:54,039 --> 00:21:51,409
step in the right direction to try to

580
00:21:55,659 --> 00:21:54,049
make it happen yeah you know she see

581
00:21:57,700 --> 00:21:55,669
things like this coming up around

582
00:21:59,470 --> 00:21:57,710
Thanksgiving and around around Christmas

583
00:22:01,360 --> 00:21:59,480
because you you get these articles that

584
00:22:03,970 --> 00:22:01,370
people write like how to have a

585
00:22:06,580 --> 00:22:03,980
conversation with your you know your

586
00:22:08,680 --> 00:22:06,590
your uncle who's a rabid conservative or

587
00:22:10,990 --> 00:22:08,690
whatever or it's a you know crazy

588
00:22:12,430 --> 00:22:11,000

liberal or oh yeah and how do you

589

00:22:13,540 --> 00:22:12,440

actually have conversations with people

590

00:22:14,830 --> 00:22:13,550

and

591

00:22:16,300 --> 00:22:14,840

yeah it's kind of a good opportunity I

592

00:22:18,040 --> 00:22:16,310

think around Thanksgiving to bring up

593

00:22:20,560 --> 00:22:18,050

topics like this how do we actually have

594

00:22:21,520 --> 00:22:20,570

these these conversations well I mean it

595

00:22:24,100 --> 00:22:21,530

might be useful to have a meta

596

00:22:26,820 --> 00:22:24,110

discussion about how we might be able to

597

00:22:29,100 --> 00:22:26,830

have better conversations in in those

598

00:22:31,390 --> 00:22:29,110

venues like during those holidays

599

00:22:32,740 --> 00:22:31,400

whether I actually sit down with a

600

00:22:34,750 --> 00:22:32,750

family member while there are other

601
00:22:36,640 --> 00:22:34,760
people around during those holidays I

602
00:22:38,980 --> 00:22:36,650
don't know if I would do it I think

603
00:22:40,540 --> 00:22:38,990
there's a time and place for it and I

604
00:22:45,330 --> 00:22:40,550
I'm not quite convinced that that's the

605
00:22:48,370 --> 00:22:45,340
right time in place unless you really

606
00:22:51,760 --> 00:22:48,380
prepare the table for it so to speak

607
00:22:53,230 --> 00:22:51,770
meaning yeah say I really like to

608
00:22:54,610 --> 00:22:53,240
explore this with you some more

609
00:22:56,110 --> 00:22:54,620
and I want to try a different approach

610
00:22:58,240 --> 00:22:56,120
than what maybe I've been using in the

611
00:22:59,920 --> 00:22:58,250
past would you be willing to do that and

612
00:23:01,360 --> 00:22:59,930
they might ask for an example and you

613
00:23:02,920 --> 00:23:01,370

can you can maybe show them a video

614

00:23:04,990 --> 00:23:02,930

example or explain to them a couple

615

00:23:08,170 --> 00:23:05,000

questions that you might ask and then

616

00:23:10,390 --> 00:23:08,180

you can gingerly embark on it in that

617

00:23:11,800 --> 00:23:10,400

environment I suppose but pay very close

618

00:23:14,860 --> 00:23:11,810

attention to see if they're becoming

619

00:23:16,530 --> 00:23:14,870

irate yeah or maybe too uncomfortable

620

00:23:18,940 --> 00:23:16,540

you want them a little uncomfortable but

621

00:23:20,410 --> 00:23:18,950

you don't want to push it too much where

622

00:23:21,640 --> 00:23:20,420

you're you're sabotaging the whole

623

00:23:23,590 --> 00:23:21,650

holiday for everybody

624

00:23:25,060 --> 00:23:23,600

indeed yeah you need to really need to

625

00:23:27,190 --> 00:23:25,070

pay attention to the venue that you're

626
00:23:29,140 --> 00:23:27,200
in do you usually engage with people

627
00:23:31,150 --> 00:23:29,150
face-to-face or online or what's what

628
00:23:32,650 --> 00:23:31,160
your what's your venue of choice

629
00:23:34,420 --> 00:23:32,660
oh well recently I've been doing a lot

630
00:23:36,660 --> 00:23:34,430
of this I've been talking to people you

631
00:23:39,760 --> 00:23:36,670
know face-to-face via things like Skype

632
00:23:40,890 --> 00:23:39,770
and I've done a lot of a lot of just

633
00:23:44,050 --> 00:23:40,900
basically text-based

634
00:23:47,200 --> 00:23:44,060
discussion you know exchanging messages

635
00:23:49,020 --> 00:23:47,210
as a message boards but I I really do

636
00:23:52,420 --> 00:23:49,030
prefer doing it face-to-face

637
00:23:55,030 --> 00:23:52,430
totally because yeah you already yeah

638
00:23:57,040 --> 00:23:55,040

the the feedback you get from just

639

00:23:59,020 --> 00:23:57,050

seeing somebody and just actually you

640

00:24:01,060 --> 00:23:59,030

know talking to someone for a start is

641

00:24:03,370 --> 00:24:01,070

better than exchanging messages and

642

00:24:05,140 --> 00:24:03,380

exchanging tweets you can get things

643

00:24:07,120 --> 00:24:05,150

done a lot quicker and you can hear the

644

00:24:08,800 --> 00:24:07,130

tone of voice and you can you hear the

645

00:24:11,590 --> 00:24:08,810

pauses and you can hear the thoughts of

646

00:24:13,600 --> 00:24:11,600

people and search it it's just a lot

647

00:24:15,790 --> 00:24:13,610

better and we're going to encourage

648

00:24:17,950 --> 00:24:15,800

people to do that I was gonna say though

649

00:24:20,290 --> 00:24:17,960

about the Thanksgiving thing I think the

650

00:24:22,270 --> 00:24:20,300

reason you see these articles isn't that

651
00:24:23,850 --> 00:24:22,280
they're encouraging people to jump on

652
00:24:26,039 --> 00:24:23,860
the Uncle when they

653
00:24:27,960 --> 00:24:26,049
around is that you know the uncle when

654
00:24:31,980 --> 00:24:27,970
they do come around they often bring up

655
00:24:33,720 --> 00:24:31,990
the topics themselves the the uncle will

656
00:24:35,660 --> 00:24:33,730
start talking about whatever strange

657
00:24:39,450 --> 00:24:35,670
belief he has and it gets a bit

658
00:24:40,950 --> 00:24:39,460
difficult for other people and it's in a

659
00:24:43,799 --> 00:24:40,960
way it's a way of defusing that

660
00:24:45,380 --> 00:24:43,809
situation rather than rather than you

661
00:24:47,930 --> 00:24:45,390
know starting to instigate something

662
00:24:51,990 --> 00:24:47,940
there's some form of defensive method

663
00:24:53,880 --> 00:24:52,000

maybe so I want to talk a bit about you

664

00:24:58,650 --> 00:24:53,890

know the actual techniques of Street

665

00:25:02,159 --> 00:24:58,660

epistemology you you use it interlocked

666

00:25:04,289 --> 00:25:02,169

Chua is a he pronounced a tyre I used to

667

00:25:07,320 --> 00:25:04,299

say interlocutor and now I say enter

668

00:25:08,940 --> 00:25:07,330

into it interlocutor is there in a way

669

00:25:11,280 --> 00:25:08,950

that I've that's I think I've been

670

00:25:12,270 --> 00:25:11,290

saying interlocutor for the last few

671

00:25:14,730 --> 00:25:12,280

years I think it's a conversation

672

00:25:17,909 --> 00:25:14,740

partner or might be an interview partner

673

00:25:19,590 --> 00:25:17,919

I've heard people say friend discussion

674

00:25:21,780 --> 00:25:19,600

partner I mean there's I I use the term

675

00:25:24,150 --> 00:25:21,790

friends but I think that's because I'm

676

00:25:25,680 --> 00:25:24,160

more aimed at talking at people you

677

00:25:26,820 --> 00:25:25,690

actually already know whereas you're

678

00:25:29,310 --> 00:25:26,830

you're quite often you just basically

679

00:25:32,820 --> 00:25:29,320

approaching a stranger in the street or

680

00:25:36,270 --> 00:25:32,830

wherever and so in the the other person

681

00:25:37,590 --> 00:25:36,280

he is I guess it's a little problematic

682

00:25:38,970 --> 00:25:37,600

if you've been using a word for years

683

00:25:42,840 --> 00:25:38,980

and we we don't know how to pronounce it

684

00:25:44,520 --> 00:25:42,850

it is it is and we make a what we sort

685

00:25:46,380 --> 00:25:44,530

of co-opted the word interlocutor to

686

00:25:48,240 --> 00:25:46,390

usually mean the person that I as the

687

00:25:50,730 --> 00:25:48,250

person using Street epistemology is

688

00:25:53,280 --> 00:25:50,740

speaking right yeah when the definition

689

00:25:55,500 --> 00:25:53,290

is both parties both conversation

690

00:25:57,120 --> 00:25:55,510

partners yes yeah so you've got this

691

00:25:58,950 --> 00:25:57,130

this this terminology that you have

692

00:26:00,720 --> 00:25:58,960

within Street epistemology which is use

693

00:26:02,549 --> 00:26:00,730

obviously it's not meant to be used in

694

00:26:04,230 --> 00:26:02,559

the conversation but it's issues when

695

00:26:05,490 --> 00:26:04,240

you're talking about the techniques and

696

00:26:07,830 --> 00:26:05,500

this there's one really interesting

697

00:26:12,060 --> 00:26:07,840

thing that comes up quite often is the

698

00:26:14,940 --> 00:26:12,070

the notion of docks a stick closure can

699

00:26:17,730 --> 00:26:14,950

you explain what that actually is a very

700

00:26:19,680 --> 00:26:17,740

academic term that I think first

701
00:26:21,120 --> 00:26:19,690
surfaced at least came across I never

702
00:26:24,360 --> 00:26:21,130
saw that word before until I read a

703
00:26:26,659 --> 00:26:24,370
Goshen's first book if I recall

704
00:26:29,299 --> 00:26:26,669
correctly it refers to a person's

705
00:26:32,280 --> 00:26:29,309
tendency to be a little bit more guarded

706
00:26:34,110 --> 00:26:32,290
with regards to their belief they're

707
00:26:37,590 --> 00:26:34,120
protective of their belief so someone is

708
00:26:40,560 --> 00:26:37,600
docks a Stickley closed my understanding

709
00:26:42,539 --> 00:26:40,570
is that they are expressing an

710
00:26:45,060 --> 00:26:42,549
unwillingness to reconsider that they

711
00:26:46,169 --> 00:26:45,070
might be mistaken that might be a might

712
00:26:47,310 --> 00:26:46,179
be often that might might want to

713
00:26:47,970 --> 00:26:47,320

double-check that but I think I'm okay

714

00:26:50,460 --> 00:26:47,980

with that

715

00:26:52,320 --> 00:26:50,470

you occasionally do meet people who are

716

00:26:54,690 --> 00:26:52,330

so convinced that they're right that

717

00:26:57,450 --> 00:26:54,700

they can't even conceive or at least

718

00:26:59,250 --> 00:26:57,460

they express the idea that they can't

719

00:27:01,560 --> 00:26:59,260

conceive of the possibility that they

720

00:27:03,650 --> 00:27:01,570

might be mistaken on it so maybe another

721

00:27:06,210 --> 00:27:03,660

way of looking at it would be a dogmatic

722

00:27:08,250 --> 00:27:06,220

person or a dogmatism might be another

723

00:27:10,260 --> 00:27:08,260

member synonym of a right of access to

724

00:27:12,120 --> 00:27:10,270

closure well you're kind of looking for

725

00:27:14,970 --> 00:27:12,130

someone whose docks a Stickley open

726

00:27:18,169 --> 00:27:14,980

ducks ducks I think is a maybe a Latin

727

00:27:21,630 --> 00:27:18,179

term for maybe it's Greek for belief and

728

00:27:23,310 --> 00:27:21,640

the more open and comfortable and honest

729

00:27:26,039 --> 00:27:23,320

a person is with you when you're

730

00:27:27,539 --> 00:27:26,049

engaging with them the more productive I

731

00:27:31,169 --> 00:27:27,549

think your conversation will be when you

732

00:27:31,740 --> 00:27:31,179

use Street epistemology with them does

733

00:27:34,169 --> 00:27:31,750

that make sense

734

00:27:35,789 --> 00:27:34,179

I mean yes you know it does it does yeah

735

00:27:36,630 --> 00:27:35,799

if somebody's open and they feel safe

736

00:27:39,299 --> 00:27:36,640

and they don't feel like you're

737

00:27:42,480 --> 00:27:39,309

misrepresenting them but you do run to a

738

00:27:46,500 --> 00:27:42,490

lot of people who are just they're

739

00:27:48,539 --> 00:27:46,510

willfully ignorant on their position it

740

00:27:50,130 --> 00:27:48,549

doesn't mean that the conversation is

741

00:27:51,870 --> 00:27:50,140

over you can still engage with a person

742

00:27:54,149 --> 00:27:51,880

that way you might start talking about

743

00:27:55,710 --> 00:27:54,159

another person who holds a slightly

744

00:27:58,230 --> 00:27:55,720

different view that's contradictory to

745

00:27:59,640 --> 00:27:58,240

theirs who might be just as dogmatic how

746

00:28:01,380 --> 00:27:59,650

do you think what suggestion do you

747

00:28:03,210 --> 00:28:01,390

think that you might have on how we can

748

00:28:04,980 --> 00:28:03,220

break through to that person who's just

749

00:28:07,680 --> 00:28:04,990

as convinced as you are and if you

750

00:28:09,450 --> 00:28:07,690

listen to your interlocutor your

751

00:28:12,630 --> 00:28:09,460

conversation partner they might start

752

00:28:15,060 --> 00:28:12,640

giving you ideas and on how you can

753

00:28:18,240 --> 00:28:15,070

break through to them and that could be

754

00:28:19,529 --> 00:28:18,250

a useful approach sometimes I think we

755

00:28:20,760 --> 00:28:19,539

might just have a tendency to throw up

756

00:28:24,210 --> 00:28:20,770

our hands this person is just too

757

00:28:26,340 --> 00:28:24,220

difficult they're too far gone yeah and

758

00:28:28,620 --> 00:28:26,350

maybe maybe some people are but I'm a

759

00:28:30,960 --> 00:28:28,630

little bit more optimistic that the more

760

00:28:33,180 --> 00:28:30,970

people who learn these these approaches

761

00:28:35,930 --> 00:28:33,190

the Street epistemology or the Socratic

762

00:28:38,340 --> 00:28:35,940

method and motivational interviewing a

763

00:28:40,710 --> 00:28:38,350

variation of her apps what you're doing

764

00:28:44,190 --> 00:28:40,720

when you're engaging with people the

765

00:28:46,260 --> 00:28:44,200

more people I think who understand how

766

00:28:47,940 --> 00:28:46,270

problematic it could be to ridicule

767

00:28:48,930 --> 00:28:47,950

somebody and give them facts that show

768

00:28:51,060 --> 00:28:48,940

that they're mistaken if they're not

769

00:28:51,630 --> 00:28:51,070

ready for it there are some people who

770

00:28:53,400 --> 00:28:51,640

are

771

00:28:56,520 --> 00:28:53,410

the more that we can educate people on

772

00:28:58,620 --> 00:28:56,530

this other method the better I think it

773

00:29:01,169 --> 00:28:58,630

will be for Humanity and I think it

774

00:29:03,600 --> 00:29:01,179

might start opening people more up to to

775

00:29:06,450 --> 00:29:03,610

epistemic humility to being humble

776

00:29:07,980 --> 00:29:06,460

enough to say I'm fairly confident that

777

00:29:10,620 --> 00:29:07,990

that's true but I I'm not a hundred

778

00:29:13,740 --> 00:29:10,630

percent sure I'm willing to budge a

779

00:29:16,410 --> 00:29:13,750

little bit that's anything I would love

780

00:29:17,880 --> 00:29:16,420

to see a point where we get there but we

781

00:29:21,450 --> 00:29:17,890

might need to start teaching this and as

782

00:29:24,960 --> 00:29:21,460

Jesus laid it had or indoctrinating the

783

00:29:27,840 --> 00:29:24,970

youth versus ism in critical thinking

784

00:29:30,450 --> 00:29:27,850

and and humility epistemic humility

785

00:29:32,070 --> 00:29:30,460

perhaps yeah it's interesting what you

786

00:29:34,760 --> 00:29:32,080

were talking about that I was I was

787

00:29:36,960 --> 00:29:34,770

thinking about the difference between

788

00:29:39,810 --> 00:29:36,970

religious people and conspiracy

789

00:29:41,970 --> 00:29:39,820

theorists when it comes to the docs exit

790

00:29:45,690 --> 00:29:41,980

closure and the open-mindedness type

791

00:29:47,340 --> 00:29:45,700

thing I religious people wouldn't

792

00:29:50,100 --> 00:29:47,350

necessarily think of themselves as being

793

00:29:51,659 --> 00:29:50,110

open-minded because they they have they

794

00:29:53,760 --> 00:29:51,669

have faith and they have belief and they

795

00:29:56,159 --> 00:29:53,770

they they are you know dr. su don't

796

00:30:00,210 --> 00:29:56,169

asticus be closed they may even take

797

00:30:02,700 --> 00:30:00,220

pride in it yeah yeah I'm closed on it

798

00:30:05,039 --> 00:30:02,710

yeah yeah blessed it is here was not

799

00:30:07,880 --> 00:30:05,049

seen and yet believes is like a

800

00:30:11,159 --> 00:30:07,890

fundamental you know a tenet of

801
00:30:14,100 --> 00:30:11,169
Christianity is that the people who

802
00:30:16,049 --> 00:30:14,110
didn't see Jesus being raised from the

803
00:30:18,590 --> 00:30:16,059
dead and yet still believed that he was

804
00:30:22,260 --> 00:30:18,600
raised from the dead as somehow more

805
00:30:25,070 --> 00:30:22,270
more holy and better and the people who

806
00:30:29,220 --> 00:30:25,080
actually based their beliefs of evidence

807
00:30:31,230 --> 00:30:29,230
right and this is this is a big topic in

808
00:30:33,950 --> 00:30:31,240
the goujian's latest book how to have

809
00:30:37,680 --> 00:30:33,960
impossible conversations this idea of

810
00:30:39,510 --> 00:30:37,690
talking to people about how they how

811
00:30:41,310 --> 00:30:39,520
they view themselves and most people

812
00:30:45,870 --> 00:30:41,320
tend to view themselves as good moral

813
00:30:47,400 --> 00:30:45,880

decent people and when you when you

814

00:30:48,930 --> 00:30:47,410

challenge a person's deeply held belief

815

00:30:50,940 --> 00:30:48,940

like a religious view or even a

816

00:30:52,740 --> 00:30:50,950

conspiracy a conspiratorial minded

817

00:30:53,820 --> 00:30:52,750

person on their are pet conspiracy

818

00:30:56,970 --> 00:30:53,830

something that they've put a lot of

819

00:30:58,620 --> 00:30:56,980

effort in its core now who they are it's

820

00:31:00,750 --> 00:30:58,630

part of their identity when you

821

00:31:03,210 --> 00:31:00,760

challenge those views

822

00:31:05,250 --> 00:31:03,220

religious views included people will see

823

00:31:08,900 --> 00:31:05,260

it as a challenge themselves which is

824

00:31:13,980 --> 00:31:08,910

why when we're using Street epistemology

825

00:31:16,740 --> 00:31:13,990

we we really want to separate the belief

826

00:31:18,690 --> 00:31:16,750

from the method that the person is using

827

00:31:20,760 --> 00:31:18,700

to conclude that the belief is true and

828

00:31:22,860 --> 00:31:20,770

there's a there's a world of difference

829

00:31:24,420 --> 00:31:22,870

there people become very guarded when

830

00:31:26,820 --> 00:31:24,430

you talk about their belief what they

831

00:31:27,870 --> 00:31:26,830

think is true they might even become a

832

00:31:29,040 --> 00:31:27,880

little bit guarded when you talk about

833

00:31:31,380 --> 00:31:29,050

their reasons like the personal

834

00:31:33,150 --> 00:31:31,390

experience they had but people tend to

835

00:31:35,790 --> 00:31:33,160

be a little bit more objective at

836

00:31:37,620 --> 00:31:35,800

looking at it with you if you focus on

837

00:31:39,900 --> 00:31:37,630

their method that they used to conclude

838

00:31:41,610 --> 00:31:39,910

that that's a good reason for holding a

839

00:31:43,200 --> 00:31:41,620

high degree of confidence in the claim

840

00:31:44,670 --> 00:31:43,210

that's where you can make the most

841

00:31:47,280 --> 00:31:44,680

traction that's where the seeds get

842

00:31:49,290 --> 00:31:47,290

planted that's where you can you can

843

00:31:50,940 --> 00:31:49,300

inspire somebody to want to go out and

844

00:31:53,430 --> 00:31:50,950

find better reasons or maybe continue a

845

00:31:55,140 --> 00:31:53,440

dialogue with you because there you've

846

00:31:57,420 --> 00:31:55,150

really got them thinking people that

847

00:31:59,700 --> 00:31:57,430

seems like to think about about these

848

00:32:01,530 --> 00:31:59,710

things they do they're not often given

849

00:32:04,410 --> 00:32:01,540

an opportunity to do it you see that in

850

00:32:06,210 --> 00:32:04,420

your videos like you you have someone a

851
00:32:09,540 --> 00:32:06,220
question and they pause and they think

852
00:32:11,160 --> 00:32:09,550
maybe they're looking up thing which is

853
00:32:14,670 --> 00:32:11,170
something you strive to get them to do

854
00:32:16,170 --> 00:32:14,680
too if you if you ever see somebody take

855
00:32:19,620 --> 00:32:16,180
a second to think about what you just

856
00:32:22,080 --> 00:32:19,630
asked them don't talk your eyes shut up

857
00:32:23,580 --> 00:32:22,090
yeah let them let it I think let them

858
00:32:25,830 --> 00:32:23,590
think about it it's so tempting to want

859
00:32:27,720 --> 00:32:25,840
to interject and and help them out of

860
00:32:28,680 --> 00:32:27,730
that awkward moment mm-hm and I think

861
00:32:31,140 --> 00:32:28,690
one of the best things that you can do

862
00:32:33,690 --> 00:32:31,150
is just let them let them think about it

863
00:32:35,250 --> 00:32:33,700

let them stew in it a little bit if they

864

00:32:39,110 --> 00:32:35,260

need clarification they can ask you for

865

00:32:41,340 --> 00:32:39,120

it they might even be quiet for a minute

866

00:32:42,630 --> 00:32:41,350

because they're processing what it is

867

00:32:45,120 --> 00:32:42,640

you've asked them now they might be

868

00:32:46,860 --> 00:32:45,130

confused too and they're like looking

869

00:32:47,970 --> 00:32:46,870

around like well now what do we do so

870

00:32:49,980 --> 00:32:47,980

you have to be paying attention to see

871

00:32:51,390 --> 00:32:49,990

what's going on but yeah give people

872

00:32:53,340 --> 00:32:51,400

time to think these things through try

873

00:32:56,400 --> 00:32:53,350

not to rush it oh yeah that's definitely

874

00:32:58,740 --> 00:32:56,410

something I've learnt over the years as

875

00:33:01,020 --> 00:32:58,750

the you can't just like tell somebody

876

00:33:03,450 --> 00:33:01,030

something and then accept expect them to

877

00:33:04,770 --> 00:33:03,460

process that straightaway or even like

878

00:33:06,930 --> 00:33:04,780

you know raise questions and expect them

879

00:33:08,880 --> 00:33:06,940

to answer straightaway it was a very

880

00:33:11,280 --> 00:33:08,890

long process and with with a lot of

881

00:33:13,990 --> 00:33:11,290

people you're planting seeds that may

882

00:33:16,360 --> 00:33:14,000

not bear fruit for for weeks or months

883

00:33:17,920 --> 00:33:16,370

afterwards some people is even years

884

00:33:21,130 --> 00:33:17,930

like some of the people I interviewed

885

00:33:23,170 --> 00:33:21,140

for my book they they were stuck in

886

00:33:25,780 --> 00:33:23,180

conspiracism for for many years before

887

00:33:26,560 --> 00:33:25,790

they got out but the seeds that help

888

00:33:28,750 --> 00:33:26,570

them get out

889

00:33:31,180 --> 00:33:28,760

actually work and I planted over a long

890

00:33:32,770 --> 00:33:31,190

period of time so even that even though

891

00:33:35,080 --> 00:33:32,780

it might seem like you're not doing any

892

00:33:37,360 --> 00:33:35,090

good for these people even if they don't

893

00:33:39,580 --> 00:33:37,370

you do the look up and think type thing

894

00:33:41,980 --> 00:33:39,590

I still think you're still planting

895

00:33:45,610 --> 00:33:41,990

seeds that can be helpful in the long

896

00:33:48,040 --> 00:33:45,620

term I think I think so and I like to

897

00:33:50,050 --> 00:33:48,050

record my interactions too if I have a

898

00:33:51,760 --> 00:33:50,060

person's permission and then share it

899

00:33:53,530 --> 00:33:51,770

online so that other people can watch it

900

00:33:55,450 --> 00:33:53,540

and give me feedback but what's

901
00:33:57,280 --> 00:33:55,460
interesting is I've had people email me

902
00:34:00,070 --> 00:33:57,290
or they even comment in the video that

903
00:34:02,920 --> 00:34:00,080
the exchange that they watched has

904
00:34:04,540 --> 00:34:02,930
planted a seed in them mm-hmm and it's

905
00:34:06,570 --> 00:34:04,550
given them something to think about and

906
00:34:09,250 --> 00:34:06,580
people are emailing me messaging me I

907
00:34:11,409 --> 00:34:09,260
almost have a daily basis to say I no

908
00:34:12,550 --> 00:34:11,419
longer believe that thing that you were

909
00:34:13,990 --> 00:34:12,560
talking with that person on the trail

910
00:34:16,060 --> 00:34:14,000
about I don't believe it anymore because

911
00:34:17,590 --> 00:34:16,070
you started me on a journey of thinking

912
00:34:19,540 --> 00:34:17,600
about it myself and these are people

913
00:34:21,190 --> 00:34:19,550

from around the world that I I've never

914

00:34:23,680 --> 00:34:21,200

interacted with them they just watched a

915

00:34:25,869 --> 00:34:23,690

20 minute video yeah and that's why it's

916

00:34:27,399 --> 00:34:25,879

well valuable talking to people even who

917

00:34:29,169 --> 00:34:27,409

yeah even people who are Docs

918

00:34:30,970 --> 00:34:29,179

aesthetically clothes I don't have to

919

00:34:33,310 --> 00:34:30,980

stop saying that word even people who

920

00:34:35,680 --> 00:34:33,320

are very close minded and very like firm

921

00:34:37,300 --> 00:34:35,690

in their faith or their belief in

922

00:34:39,460 --> 00:34:37,310

whatever you know thing they believe in

923

00:34:40,690 --> 00:34:39,470

even if you even if you don't shift them

924

00:34:42,460 --> 00:34:40,700

you can chip somebody else because

925

00:34:45,100 --> 00:34:42,470

there's quite often there is an audience

926
00:34:47,200 --> 00:34:45,110
I know your videos like you know have an

927
00:34:48,879 --> 00:34:47,210
audience of other people but even when

928
00:34:52,030 --> 00:34:48,889
I'm chatting with somebody on Facebook

929
00:34:53,649 --> 00:34:52,040
or on Twitter I know that there are

930
00:34:56,139 --> 00:34:53,659
people listening like one of the guys I

931
00:34:58,360 --> 00:34:56,149
interviewed for my podcast awhile ago he

932
00:35:01,090 --> 00:34:58,370
said he kind of came around to my way of

933
00:35:04,210 --> 00:35:01,100
thinking to a degree because he was

934
00:35:06,460 --> 00:35:04,220
watching me argue with people on on

935
00:35:08,980 --> 00:35:06,470
Facebook in one of the 9/11 Facebook

936
00:35:11,650 --> 00:35:08,990
groups and he had these people in the

937
00:35:15,340 --> 00:35:11,660
group they weren't shifting at all but

938
00:35:18,400 --> 00:35:15,350

because I politely persisted in in

939

00:35:22,180 --> 00:35:18,410

talking to Thurmond you didn't didn't

940

00:35:23,590 --> 00:35:22,190

like you know go down into the you know

941

00:35:26,220 --> 00:35:23,600

the insults and the shouting with what

942

00:35:28,830 --> 00:35:26,230

which a lot of people do

943

00:35:30,810 --> 00:35:28,840

and he actually started to listen to

944

00:35:32,070 --> 00:35:30,820

what I was saying and I think that's the

945

00:35:33,720 --> 00:35:32,080

same thing with what you're doing people

946

00:35:35,910 --> 00:35:33,730

are gonna be listening to what you're

947

00:35:39,020 --> 00:35:35,920

saying is because you know you you you

948

00:35:42,140 --> 00:35:39,030

are you're nice you're polite you're

949

00:35:44,010 --> 00:35:42,150

respectful and you you raise good points

950

00:35:46,590 --> 00:35:44,020

yeah I think there's something to that I

951
00:35:48,270 --> 00:35:46,600
think there's that bystander effect

952
00:35:51,150 --> 00:35:48,280
well that means something completely

953
00:35:52,590 --> 00:35:51,160
different but there is yeah there's the

954
00:35:54,540 --> 00:35:52,600
there are people usually watching these

955
00:35:56,580 --> 00:35:54,550
exchanges whether it's if I'm

956
00:35:58,050 --> 00:35:56,590
interviewing the two folk the two

957
00:35:59,580 --> 00:35:58,060
missionaries from the LDS Church I might

958
00:36:00,900 --> 00:35:59,590
be talking to one guy while the other

959
00:36:03,450 --> 00:36:00,910
one is really thinking about the

960
00:36:04,710 --> 00:36:03,460
question that I just asked this dude

961
00:36:06,780 --> 00:36:04,720
or maybe you yeah when you're online

962
00:36:08,880 --> 00:36:06,790
people might just be taking a back light

963
00:36:10,380 --> 00:36:08,890

like that's somebody who I completely

964

00:36:12,780 --> 00:36:10,390

disagree with but he's being so much

965

00:36:15,720 --> 00:36:12,790

nicer than that guy for my news idly

966

00:36:17,400 --> 00:36:15,730

exactly him like why isn't my guy from

967

00:36:19,020 --> 00:36:17,410

my tribe being just as nice as him he's

968

00:36:21,150 --> 00:36:19,030

really being a jerk but this guy's being

969

00:36:24,480 --> 00:36:21,160

so nice that might just be what it takes

970

00:36:25,800 --> 00:36:24,490

to to have a guy the observers take

971

00:36:27,150 --> 00:36:25,810

another look at your what you're saying

972

00:36:28,560 --> 00:36:27,160

and what you're asking and really think

973

00:36:34,109 --> 00:36:28,570

about it when when they're not in the

974

00:36:36,180 --> 00:36:34,119

hot seat per se yeah yeah way to look at

975

00:36:38,700 --> 00:36:36,190

you've got this this article then if you

976
00:36:40,950 --> 00:36:38,710
wrote it but this Street epistemology of

977
00:36:43,080 --> 00:36:40,960
the basics on Street epistemology calm

978
00:36:45,170 --> 00:36:43,090
which is having a great introduction to

979
00:36:47,250 --> 00:36:45,180
what Street epistemology actually is

980
00:36:48,240 --> 00:36:47,260
someone wants to figure out you know

981
00:36:52,140 --> 00:36:48,250
find out what we're actually talking

982
00:36:53,940 --> 00:36:52,150
about here we we do cover most of the

983
00:36:55,140 --> 00:36:53,950
basics there so what I want to do real

984
00:36:56,970 --> 00:36:55,150
quickly just kind of go through the ten

985
00:37:00,450 --> 00:36:56,980
steps in it and just let's see like you

986
00:37:02,760 --> 00:37:00,460
know what Oh what does that as the ten

987
00:37:06,540 --> 00:37:02,770
steps this this is nine there's eight

988
00:37:08,670 --> 00:37:06,550

steps there's ten it's better because

989

00:37:13,140 --> 00:37:08,680

I'm thinking about making well I made a

990

00:37:13,920 --> 00:37:13,150

tutorial series of videos for 2015 it's

991

00:37:15,390 --> 00:37:13,930

a little dated

992

00:37:16,620 --> 00:37:15,400

we've learned so much since then and I

993

00:37:18,450 --> 00:37:16,630

was thinking about refreshing that

994

00:37:19,980 --> 00:37:18,460

series and I definitely be more than

995

00:37:22,349 --> 00:37:19,990

eight little videos I think I'd probably

996

00:37:24,270 --> 00:37:22,359

have to do like fifteen or twenty and

997

00:37:25,830 --> 00:37:24,280

chunk it up well this is this is this

998

00:37:27,480 --> 00:37:25,840

kind of like a quick you're getting into

999

00:37:30,150 --> 00:37:27,490

it's like so I mean the first thing is

1000

00:37:33,060 --> 00:37:30,160

step one is build rapport with your your

1001
00:37:35,160 --> 00:37:33,070
intellect your the other conversational

1002
00:37:36,960 --> 00:37:35,170
partner conversation partner yes yeah

1003
00:37:38,520 --> 00:37:36,970
very critical it shows people that

1004
00:37:39,630 --> 00:37:38,530
you're listening to them you're hearing

1005
00:37:42,210 --> 00:37:39,640
what they're saying

1006
00:37:45,390 --> 00:37:42,220
and oftentimes during the rapport

1007
00:37:47,580 --> 00:37:45,400
building phase well it's it helps you to

1008
00:37:49,350 --> 00:37:47,590
see that that individual is a person

1009
00:37:51,330 --> 00:37:49,360
there are human being with emotions and

1010
00:37:53,430 --> 00:37:51,340
they see you is in the same way usually

1011
00:37:55,440 --> 00:37:53,440
but oftentimes they might reveal

1012
00:37:58,020 --> 00:37:55,450
something about like maybe they maybe

1013
00:37:59,400 --> 00:37:58,030

they build bridges or they they're an

1014

00:38:01,470 --> 00:37:59,410

engineer I mean there's something like

1015

00:38:03,510 --> 00:38:01,480

that or you might you might learn

1016

00:38:05,820 --> 00:38:03,520

something about what they do in in

1017

00:38:07,470 --> 00:38:05,830

regular life that could be useful later

1018

00:38:09,000 --> 00:38:07,480

on when we get to step like six or seven

1019

00:38:10,710 --> 00:38:09,010

when you're challenging how they

1020

00:38:12,630 --> 00:38:10,720

concluded that something is true could

1021

00:38:14,580 --> 00:38:12,640

we possibly use that same method to

1022

00:38:16,170 --> 00:38:14,590

construct a bridge yeah if you were

1023

00:38:17,940 --> 00:38:16,180

hiring an employee at work at the bank

1024

00:38:19,470 --> 00:38:17,950

do you think that you use that same

1025

00:38:20,190 --> 00:38:19,480

method to figure out the best candidate

1026

00:38:21,990 --> 00:38:20,200

for the job

1027

00:38:24,510 --> 00:38:22,000

see if putting in terms they understand

1028

00:38:27,330 --> 00:38:24,520

and because they're later to they'll

1029

00:38:28,050 --> 00:38:27,340

speaking their language people love to to

1030

00:38:29,910 --> 00:38:28,060

be listened to

1031

00:38:31,590 --> 00:38:29,920

yeah and obviously like you wouldn't

1032

00:38:34,080 --> 00:38:31,600

start off on the right foot with these

1033

00:38:36,210 --> 00:38:34,090

these conversations and that you as

1034

00:38:37,800 --> 00:38:36,220

these are that was something that's not

1035

00:38:40,710 --> 00:38:37,810

immediately concentration or

1036

00:38:42,240 --> 00:38:40,720

confrontational yeah people yeah this

1037

00:38:44,970 --> 00:38:42,250

actually reminds me what you what I find

1038

00:38:46,470 --> 00:38:44,980

that usually happens on social media not

1039

00:38:48,720 --> 00:38:46,480

usually in person although it can't

1040

00:38:50,220 --> 00:38:48,730

happen in person - yeah let's say I'm at

1041

00:38:52,320 --> 00:38:50,230

a protest I might be arguing with

1042

00:38:54,450 --> 00:38:52,330

somebody and then it dawns on me oh this

1043

00:38:56,040 --> 00:38:54,460

isn't this isn't gonna be effective let

1044

00:38:57,840 --> 00:38:56,050

me reel this back and try this different

1045

00:39:00,090 --> 00:38:57,850

way and sometimes I do that on social

1046

00:39:02,610 --> 00:39:00,100

media too I make a snide comment on

1047

00:39:04,470 --> 00:39:02,620

somebody's post and then they write fly

1048

00:39:05,550 --> 00:39:04,480

back and now I'm like ooh now I gotta

1049

00:39:07,500 --> 00:39:05,560

kind of walk that back

1050

00:39:08,670 --> 00:39:07,510

it doesn't even though you might start

1051

00:39:10,050 --> 00:39:08,680

off on the wrong foot it doesn't mean

1052

00:39:12,360 --> 00:39:10,060

that the conversation is doomed even if

1053

00:39:13,770 --> 00:39:12,370

you've been going about it the the

1054

00:39:17,010 --> 00:39:13,780

opposite way of what we're talking about

1055

00:39:19,380 --> 00:39:17,020

for years the the conversation is still

1056

00:39:20,780 --> 00:39:19,390

the yeah the conversation or the topic

1057

00:39:22,650 --> 00:39:20,790

that you're talking about is still

1058

00:39:24,540 --> 00:39:22,660

repairable I think you could still get

1059

00:39:26,160 --> 00:39:24,550

through it yeah you can always kind of

1060

00:39:28,170 --> 00:39:26,170

do some kind of reboot and like you know

1061

00:39:30,150 --> 00:39:28,180

yeah I say to people like oh I think we

1062

00:39:32,850 --> 00:39:30,160

got off on the wrong foot here that's

1063

00:39:34,380 --> 00:39:32,860

exactly the try start again like it what

1064

00:39:36,810 --> 00:39:34,390

are we talking about which brings us

1065

00:39:40,530 --> 00:39:36,820

right up to which is identifying the

1066

00:39:42,810 --> 00:39:40,540

claim so it's like what are they

1067

00:39:45,270 --> 00:39:42,820

actually claiming but how do you how do

1068

00:39:46,440 --> 00:39:45,280

you kind of like bring that out you just

1069

00:39:47,730 --> 00:39:46,450

ask them this is something you believe

1070

00:39:50,100 --> 00:39:47,740

in or do you believe in this

1071

00:39:52,560 --> 00:39:50,110

I don't usually well when I first

1072

00:39:53,130 --> 00:39:52,570

started going out I had a claim in mind

1073

00:39:55,740 --> 00:39:53,140

that I want

1074

00:39:57,359 --> 00:39:55,750

to challenge people on mm-hmm and these

1075

00:39:59,099 --> 00:39:57,369

days because we know that this tool can

1076

00:40:00,329 --> 00:39:59,109

be used for all sorts of claims I ask a

1077

00:40:02,250 --> 00:40:00,339

person to pick the claim that they think

1078

00:40:04,500 --> 00:40:02,260

is true that motivates them to behave in

1079

00:40:06,089 --> 00:40:04,510

a certain way something that you find

1080

00:40:08,190 --> 00:40:06,099

yourself acting out on because you think

1081

00:40:09,839 --> 00:40:08,200

that it's true do you avoid giving your

1082

00:40:11,549 --> 00:40:09,849

kid plastic cups because you think that

1083

00:40:12,839 --> 00:40:11,559

the chemicals in the in the plastic are

1084

00:40:15,029 --> 00:40:12,849

going to taint the water when you put in

1085

00:40:16,440 --> 00:40:15,039

the microwave or this approach seems to

1086

00:40:18,210 --> 00:40:16,450

be really effective when you when you

1087

00:40:20,190 --> 00:40:18,220

start with a claim that people are

1088

00:40:22,410 --> 00:40:20,200

acting out on if people are sort of like

1089

00:40:23,940 --> 00:40:22,420

I'm gonna wish you washing on it yeah I

1090

00:40:25,140 --> 00:40:23,950

don't really know if paper bags are read

1091

00:40:27,509 --> 00:40:25,150

in the plastic I don't really care

1092

00:40:29,309 --> 00:40:27,519

either way you can still explore that

1093

00:40:32,779 --> 00:40:29,319

using this approach but it seems to be

1094

00:40:35,819 --> 00:40:32,789

much more profound and effective and

1095

00:40:37,079 --> 00:40:35,829

shocking when you watch it on YouTube if

1096

00:40:37,259 --> 00:40:37,089

it's something that's core to who they

1097

00:40:39,450 --> 00:40:37,269

are

1098

00:40:41,460 --> 00:40:39,460

you know as far as like topic selection

1099

00:40:42,630 --> 00:40:41,470

I usually just sometimes people don't

1100

00:40:44,190 --> 00:40:42,640

know what to pick because I'm just

1101
00:40:47,400 --> 00:40:44,200
asking them out of the blue let's pick a

1102
00:40:49,289 --> 00:40:47,410
topic but that usually helps it's kind

1103
00:40:51,059 --> 00:40:49,299
of narrow it done see you're actually

1104
00:40:55,220 --> 00:40:51,069
doing something that has an effect on

1105
00:40:57,660 --> 00:40:55,230
the life ideally changes that behavior

1106
00:40:58,980 --> 00:40:57,670
possibly yeah let's pick a claim that

1107
00:41:01,380 --> 00:40:58,990
you think is true that you're acting out

1108
00:41:03,089 --> 00:41:01,390
on and you're you're teaching other

1109
00:41:05,220 --> 00:41:03,099
people this because you think that it's

1110
00:41:08,130 --> 00:41:05,230
true so for example I ran into a woman

1111
00:41:10,349 --> 00:41:08,140
who said that her grandmother had taught

1112
00:41:12,120 --> 00:41:10,359
her that when a deceased relatives dies

1113
00:41:14,130 --> 00:41:12,130

you should leave water out for them this

1114

00:41:16,049 --> 00:41:14,140

is something that she does that would be

1115

00:41:19,079 --> 00:41:16,059

a great thing to talk about with her and

1116

00:41:21,779 --> 00:41:19,089

I did yeah that's very interesting I

1117

00:41:24,150 --> 00:41:21,789

stated the first example was given in

1118

00:41:28,440 --> 00:41:24,160

this thing is actually they believe in

1119

00:41:30,660 --> 00:41:28,450

UFOs but I guess like most of your

1120

00:41:32,880 --> 00:41:30,670

videos do seem to center around religion

1121

00:41:35,609 --> 00:41:32,890

is that the you by far the most thing

1122

00:41:40,380 --> 00:41:35,619

common thing that comes up or is it

1123

00:41:42,059 --> 00:41:40,390

changing mmm as a changing I don't know

1124

00:41:44,870 --> 00:41:42,069

I don't know if I could adequately

1125

00:41:48,269 --> 00:41:44,880

answer that question if it's changing

1126

00:41:50,309 --> 00:41:48,279

but most people in my area because I

1127

00:41:51,539 --> 00:41:50,319

live in Texas and I when I ask them to

1128

00:41:54,509 --> 00:41:51,549

pick something that similar to who they

1129

00:41:56,759 --> 00:41:54,519

are and perhaps they think that I'm an

1130

00:41:58,589 --> 00:41:56,769

Evette evangelical Christian or

1131

00:42:00,830 --> 00:41:58,599

something because of of the town that

1132

00:42:03,720 --> 00:42:00,840

I'm in maybe they maybe that's

1133

00:42:05,460 --> 00:42:03,730

skewing the topic selection perhaps but

1134

00:42:07,260 --> 00:42:05,470

I try to give them a couple of different

1135

00:42:09,390 --> 00:42:07,270

options - we can talk about karma ghosts

1136

00:42:11,310 --> 00:42:09,400

politics social justice whatever and

1137

00:42:13,500 --> 00:42:11,320

then I you know they usually pick

1138

00:42:16,970 --> 00:42:13,510

something yeah I saw you had one which

1139

00:42:19,470 --> 00:42:16,980

was an atheist who believed in karma

1140

00:42:20,850 --> 00:42:19,480

she's also an interesting interesting

1141

00:42:23,280 --> 00:42:20,860

thing is that you get people who are

1142

00:42:24,390 --> 00:42:23,290

atheists and yet they believe in some

1143

00:42:27,150 --> 00:42:24,400

kind of thing that's essentially

1144

00:42:29,580 --> 00:42:27,160

supernatural but they perhaps haven't

1145

00:42:32,310 --> 00:42:29,590

thought about what the implications of

1146

00:42:35,130 --> 00:42:32,320

this supernatural thing actually

1147

00:42:38,190 --> 00:42:35,140

actually are and yeah if you believe in

1148

00:42:40,290 --> 00:42:38,200

karma why don't you believe in God yeah

1149

00:42:42,780 --> 00:42:40,300

or sometimes I meet people who believe

1150

00:42:44,370 --> 00:42:42,790

in God and karma and I asked them hmm

1151
00:42:46,410 --> 00:42:44,380
would you is your belief in karma

1152
00:42:49,650 --> 00:42:46,420
dependent on believing in God and they

1153
00:42:51,300 --> 00:42:49,660
oftentimes say yes so if sometimes I'll

1154
00:42:52,890 --> 00:42:51,310
ask questions to narrow down the topic

1155
00:42:54,960 --> 00:42:52,900
selection so in that instance I might

1156
00:42:57,270 --> 00:42:54,970
say well let's set karma aside for now

1157
00:42:59,310 --> 00:42:57,280
and let's focus on God since since your

1158
00:43:01,140 --> 00:42:59,320
view on karma isn't influenced by the by

1159
00:43:03,000 --> 00:43:01,150
the God belief let's would you be okay

1160
00:43:04,740 --> 00:43:03,010
if we talked about that and sometimes

1161
00:43:05,760 --> 00:43:04,750
they say that one's just a little bit

1162
00:43:06,690 --> 00:43:05,770
too close to home I think I'd be a

1163
00:43:08,610 --> 00:43:06,700

little bit more comfortable talking

1164

00:43:10,500 --> 00:43:08,620

about Karma and then I say okay that's

1165

00:43:11,880 --> 00:43:10,510

fine we can do that but it does it

1166

00:43:15,540 --> 00:43:11,890

almost doesn't matter the topic that you

1167

00:43:16,560 --> 00:43:15,550

pick because the the subsequent of steps

1168

00:43:19,170 --> 00:43:16,570

that I think you're probably going to be

1169

00:43:23,630 --> 00:43:19,180

mentioning will pretty much work with

1170

00:43:25,410 --> 00:43:23,640

any claim that you that you select and

1171

00:43:28,050 --> 00:43:25,420

hopefully by the time that you're done

1172

00:43:29,670 --> 00:43:28,060

with the conversation and you might have

1173

00:43:32,130 --> 00:43:29,680

to do a couple with with the same person

1174

00:43:34,320 --> 00:43:32,140

but it's it could be really valuable if

1175

00:43:36,420 --> 00:43:34,330

you're in the process of exploring their

1176

00:43:39,180 --> 00:43:36,430

their confidence in their claim and

1177

00:43:41,310 --> 00:43:39,190

their reasons and their methods you

1178

00:43:43,080 --> 00:43:41,320

could be teaching them how to do this so

1179

00:43:45,920 --> 00:43:43,090

sometimes it might even be better to

1180

00:43:47,870 --> 00:43:45,930

take a safer topic like karma and

1181

00:43:50,670 --> 00:43:47,880

interrogated in a friendly way and

1182

00:43:52,050 --> 00:43:50,680

perhaps in part that tool set so that

1183

00:43:54,600 --> 00:43:52,060

they can maybe look into Street

1184

00:43:56,430 --> 00:43:54,610

epistemology or try to replicate the

1185

00:43:58,590 --> 00:43:56,440

questions that I asked about this safe

1186

00:44:00,440 --> 00:43:58,600

topic of karma on something a little bit

1187

00:44:03,930 --> 00:44:00,450

more closer to home like the God belief

1188

00:44:07,110 --> 00:44:03,940

yeah yeah and that kind of tells me

1189

00:44:09,630 --> 00:44:07,120

something I do which is try to focus on

1190

00:44:12,210 --> 00:44:09,640

something on the fringes of the belief

1191

00:44:13,670 --> 00:44:12,220

spectrum so you take things that then

1192

00:44:17,330 --> 00:44:13,680

perhaps not so

1193

00:44:19,070 --> 00:44:17,340

100% sure about and then you talk to

1194

00:44:21,050 --> 00:44:19,080

them about that and why do you suspect

1195

00:44:22,550 --> 00:44:21,060

this might be true or why do you think

1196

00:44:24,950 --> 00:44:22,560

it's true and you know what would it

1197

00:44:26,540 --> 00:44:24,960

take you to step just from this side of

1198

00:44:29,290 --> 00:44:26,550

the line to that side of the line and

1199

00:44:32,090 --> 00:44:29,300

you begin to focus on you know one thing

1200

00:44:33,860 --> 00:44:32,100

like that that isn't perhaps that's

1201

00:44:36,590 --> 00:44:33,870

threatening to their entire belief

1202

00:44:38,750 --> 00:44:36,600

system then it allows them to think

1203

00:44:41,510 --> 00:44:38,760

about you know why they're believing in

1204

00:44:43,040 --> 00:44:41,520

this thing and perhaps apply the same

1205

00:44:46,550 --> 00:44:43,050

things to things that are a bit further

1206

00:44:48,770 --> 00:44:46,560

down there their solidity spectrum in

1207

00:44:50,450 --> 00:44:48,780

terms of what they actually believe yeah

1208

00:44:52,130 --> 00:44:50,460

I think that's a good approach like I

1209

00:44:53,540 --> 00:44:52,140

think I even mentioned it during the

1210

00:44:55,970 --> 00:44:53,550

conversation with the woman about

1211

00:44:56,870 --> 00:44:55,980

spirits and water and stuff her name is

1212

00:45:00,560 --> 00:44:56,880

maritza

1213

00:45:01,820 --> 00:45:00,570

but I think at the end we started

1214

00:45:04,160 --> 00:45:01,830

talking about how we may have actually

1215

00:45:05,780 --> 00:45:04,170

created a template for her so that she

1216

00:45:07,100 --> 00:45:05,790

can go home because I think she says

1217

00:45:09,910 --> 00:45:07,110

something at the end like now I'm

1218

00:45:11,840 --> 00:45:09,920

questioning all of my faith beliefs and

1219

00:45:13,400 --> 00:45:11,850

then we started talking about well maybe

1220

00:45:15,200 --> 00:45:13,410

you can just take this take this

1221

00:45:16,490 --> 00:45:15,210

discussion that we had and and think

1222

00:45:17,960 --> 00:45:16,500

about it and then maybe you can start

1223

00:45:19,670 --> 00:45:17,970

asking yourself the same questions about

1224

00:45:20,810 --> 00:45:19,680

these other things so yeah I think that

1225

00:45:22,760 --> 00:45:20,820

that approach that you're talking about

1226

00:45:25,760 --> 00:45:22,770

might actually be pretty smart to do

1227

00:45:27,530 --> 00:45:25,770

yeah well it's like whip through these

1228

00:45:29,900 --> 00:45:27,540

other steps step three is confirmed a

1229

00:45:31,250 --> 00:45:29,910

flame that's like complaining that you

1230

00:45:32,450 --> 00:45:31,260

have understood what they are talking

1231

00:45:33,680 --> 00:45:32,460

about I mean that kind of goes back to

1232

00:45:36,140 --> 00:45:33,690

what we're talking about earlier with

1233

00:45:37,930 --> 00:45:36,150

definitions of words so nicely how do

1234

00:45:40,130 --> 00:45:37,940

you go about confirming it do you you

1235

00:45:42,860 --> 00:45:40,140

rephrase it to them in a different way

1236

00:45:45,230 --> 00:45:42,870

or good question I might say something

1237

00:45:47,030 --> 00:45:45,240

like okay just so I understand when you

1238

00:45:50,900 --> 00:45:47,040

say God I think what you're referring to

1239

00:45:53,960 --> 00:45:50,910

is an all-powerful entity who has the

1240

00:45:55,490 --> 00:45:53,970

ability to influence how humans interact

1241

00:45:59,900 --> 00:45:55,500

with reality do I have that right and

1242

00:46:01,130 --> 00:45:59,910

they might say yes right and I say no

1243

00:46:03,200 --> 00:46:01,140

that's way off how do you even get that

1244

00:46:05,000 --> 00:46:03,210

or they might say now that you're

1245

00:46:07,040 --> 00:46:05,010

repeating it back to me that's not

1246

00:46:08,480 --> 00:46:07,050

exactly what I mean can I just tweak it

1247

00:46:10,190 --> 00:46:08,490

a little bit and so you're fine-tuning

1248

00:46:12,500 --> 00:46:10,200

it you're getting it you're getting it

1249

00:46:14,060 --> 00:46:12,510

perfected but not to the point where

1250

00:46:15,890 --> 00:46:14,070

it's like you're locking it in and you

1251
00:46:17,390 --> 00:46:15,900
you won't budge on it from that point on

1252
00:46:18,920 --> 00:46:17,400
it's sort of like a temporary

1253
00:46:20,270 --> 00:46:18,930
placeholder I think this is what we're

1254
00:46:21,920 --> 00:46:20,280
talking about and you can even do this

1255
00:46:24,080 --> 00:46:21,930
with their reasons and their method - I

1256
00:46:26,870 --> 00:46:24,090
think this is the reason why you think

1257
00:46:27,500 --> 00:46:26,880
that this thing is true yeah exact is

1258
00:46:29,180 --> 00:46:27,510
that correct

1259
00:46:30,470 --> 00:46:29,190
and then you can revisit these things

1260
00:46:32,210 --> 00:46:30,480
and come back and change them if need be

1261
00:46:33,470 --> 00:46:32,220
you need to be flexible about it you

1262
00:46:35,210 --> 00:46:33,480
don't need to be it's it's

1263
00:46:38,260 --> 00:46:35,220

counterproductive I think also to be

1264

00:46:40,550 --> 00:46:38,270

rigid about how you go about doing this

1265

00:46:42,860 --> 00:46:40,560

some people might be ready to jump right

1266

00:46:44,390 --> 00:46:42,870

into their reasons or their method but

1267

00:46:47,120 --> 00:46:44,400

it does seem to make more sense to like

1268

00:46:48,710 --> 00:46:47,130

get a really clear understanding of what

1269

00:46:50,360 --> 00:46:48,720

they mean by these words and even their

1270

00:46:51,800 --> 00:46:50,370

confidence level how sure are you that

1271

00:46:53,570 --> 00:46:51,810

this is true is that one of the steps

1272

00:46:55,340 --> 00:46:53,580

yeah those are other steps that so we'll

1273

00:46:56,510 --> 00:46:55,350

step four is clarify definitions which

1274

00:46:58,250 --> 00:46:56,520

you kind of went over there you know

1275

00:46:59,720 --> 00:46:58,260

what do you mean by the word God or true

1276

00:47:02,360 --> 00:46:59,730

or whatever and then Step five is

1277

00:47:04,370 --> 00:47:02,370

identify a confidence level and I think

1278

00:47:06,020 --> 00:47:04,380

this is a technique that Bogosian like

1279

00:47:09,400 --> 00:47:06,030

talks about it is like getting people to

1280

00:47:12,590 --> 00:47:09,410

put a number on on something you know

1281

00:47:15,830 --> 00:47:12,600

establishing a scale for thing right on

1282

00:47:18,830 --> 00:47:15,840

a scale of one to one to 100 you know

1283

00:47:20,420 --> 00:47:18,840

how strongly do you believe in these and

1284

00:47:22,760 --> 00:47:20,430

is this something that you you you

1285

00:47:25,190 --> 00:47:22,770

almost always do in your your videos and

1286

00:47:27,260 --> 00:47:25,200

your discussions rather I don't always

1287

00:47:30,020 --> 00:47:27,270

do it but if I don't do it I almost

1288

00:47:31,520 --> 00:47:30,030

always regret not having done it because

1289

00:47:33,140 --> 00:47:31,530

I get to the end of a conversation and

1290

00:47:35,690 --> 00:47:33,150

they're expressing some doubts and

1291

00:47:37,460 --> 00:47:35,700

questioning those those pauses and those

1292

00:47:39,320 --> 00:47:37,470

those were flexible poses that we talked

1293

00:47:41,240 --> 00:47:39,330

about and I'm sometimes kicking myself

1294

00:47:42,710 --> 00:47:41,250

when I asked them how sure they were at

1295

00:47:46,520 --> 00:47:42,720

the beginning because now I can compare

1296

00:47:48,050 --> 00:47:46,530

to see if they moved but it's almost a

1297

00:47:49,760 --> 00:47:48,060

dead giveaway when you say well on a

1298

00:47:51,410 --> 00:47:49,770

scale from zero to 100 or one to ten how

1299

00:47:52,520 --> 00:47:51,420

sure are you that this is true that

1300

00:47:54,560 --> 00:47:52,530

could be a dead giveaway that you're

1301
00:47:57,530 --> 00:47:54,570
doing Street epistemology and in some

1302
00:47:58,700 --> 00:47:57,540
circles that can close people down so

1303
00:48:00,880 --> 00:47:58,710
you have to be careful about when you

1304
00:48:03,110 --> 00:48:00,890
roll it out I've been experimenting with

1305
00:48:04,700 --> 00:48:03,120
getting an idea of what their claim is

1306
00:48:06,970 --> 00:48:04,710
and how they're talking about the claim

1307
00:48:09,350 --> 00:48:06,980
and how they're behaving with our body I

1308
00:48:11,750 --> 00:48:09,360
don't even think I asked that the the

1309
00:48:13,190 --> 00:48:11,760
LDS missionaries when they walk by how

1310
00:48:15,170 --> 00:48:13,200
sure they were on a scale from zero to

1311
00:48:16,910 --> 00:48:15,180
100 that's true I mean I think that

1312
00:48:19,340 --> 00:48:16,920
they're fairly confident that it's true

1313
00:48:21,530 --> 00:48:19,350

they're out there now they I think mid

1314

00:48:22,880 --> 00:48:21,540

conversation I did ask them that because

1315

00:48:24,140 --> 00:48:22,890

it's useful to get a sense of where

1316

00:48:26,900 --> 00:48:24,150

they're at but you don't have to do it

1317

00:48:28,640 --> 00:48:26,910

it's a good subjective indicator that's

1318

00:48:30,530 --> 00:48:28,650

being self reported by your conversation

1319

00:48:32,930 --> 00:48:30,540

partner and and I find that it's fairly

1320

00:48:34,880 --> 00:48:32,940

useful sometimes I'm surprised by the

1321

00:48:38,940 --> 00:48:34,890

answers people give when I ask that

1322

00:48:42,299 --> 00:48:38,950

question - yeah like 87% so something

1323

00:48:43,500 --> 00:48:42,309

I've actually said I think I've did you

1324

00:48:47,370 --> 00:48:43,510

pull that number I just out of random

1325

00:48:50,069 --> 00:48:47,380

because I think titled it yeah it's a t

1326

00:48:55,049 --> 00:48:50,079

73 I think it was very specific number

1327

00:48:57,150 --> 00:48:55,059

varies then sure than got Israel I think

1328

00:48:59,640 --> 00:48:57,160

that conversation was about karma mmm

1329

00:49:01,530 --> 00:48:59,650

but yeah sometimes people will even say

1330

00:49:04,079 --> 00:49:01,540

turn off the camera and I'll tell you my

1331

00:49:05,490 --> 00:49:04,089

real confidence level no really and is

1332

00:49:09,690 --> 00:49:05,500

it is it lower than the public

1333

00:49:12,359 --> 00:49:09,700

confidence level yes interesting just to

1334

00:49:15,089 --> 00:49:12,369

hear yeah yeah there if you see the e

1335

00:49:16,890 --> 00:49:15,099

here they about priests who don't

1336

00:49:18,569 --> 00:49:16,900

believe in God and things like that's

1337

00:49:21,780 --> 00:49:18,579

all the time so I'm a lot of I think

1338

00:49:23,670 --> 00:49:21,790

social pressure for people to say they

1339

00:49:26,099 --> 00:49:23,680

believe in things but perhaps they're

1340

00:49:27,690 --> 00:49:26,109

having secret doubts yes they're not

1341

00:49:30,569 --> 00:49:27,700

willing to talk to you about it and it's

1342

00:49:32,039 --> 00:49:30,579

very interesting is that something that

1343

00:49:33,960 --> 00:49:32,049

happens a lot of you get the sense that

1344

00:49:36,180 --> 00:49:33,970

there's a lot of that going on people

1345

00:49:39,000 --> 00:49:36,190

aren't really at a hundred but they feel

1346

00:49:41,190 --> 00:49:39,010

compelled to say a hundred I think that

1347

00:49:43,049 --> 00:49:41,200

happens quite a bit but I don't know I

1348

00:49:45,000 --> 00:49:43,059

can only go off of what they're telling

1349

00:49:45,930 --> 00:49:45,010

me even if they say I'm a hundred and

1350

00:49:48,660 --> 00:49:45,940

then at the end of the conversation

1351
00:49:50,789 --> 00:49:48,670
they're 80 percent mmm did they just

1352
00:49:52,559 --> 00:49:50,799
feel obligated to say that because they

1353
00:49:54,059 --> 00:49:52,569
thought that is my objective all along

1354
00:49:57,559 --> 00:49:54,069
and they just don't want to make me feel

1355
00:50:01,020 --> 00:49:57,569
bad or something I always yeah exactly

1356
00:50:03,900 --> 00:50:01,030
who knows what the motivation is yeah so

1357
00:50:05,579 --> 00:50:03,910
yeah so we've identified a confidence

1358
00:50:09,120 --> 00:50:05,589
level and then the next step is to

1359
00:50:10,500 --> 00:50:09,130
identify the method used to arrive at

1360
00:50:11,579 --> 00:50:10,510
the confidence level now I think this is

1361
00:50:13,319 --> 00:50:11,589
probably going to be the most

1362
00:50:16,440 --> 00:50:13,329
complicated step and the one that's

1363
00:50:17,400 --> 00:50:16,450

going to make people think a lot and so

1364

00:50:19,799 --> 00:50:17,410

you have to you have to talk about it a

1365

00:50:21,480 --> 00:50:19,809

lot what - well if people's reactions

1366

00:50:24,960 --> 00:50:21,490

when you say like what method did you

1367

00:50:26,430 --> 00:50:24,970

use to get to this 100% was there step

1368

00:50:28,410 --> 00:50:26,440

in between those because it seems like

1369

00:50:30,870 --> 00:50:28,420

there's something missing I can identify

1370

00:50:33,750 --> 00:50:30,880

a confidence level before that and then

1371

00:50:36,299 --> 00:50:33,760

so and then in the method um lan

1372

00:50:37,980 --> 00:50:36,309

straight to method so yeah how confident

1373

00:50:41,460 --> 00:50:37,990

are you I'm a hundred how how did you

1374

00:50:43,920 --> 00:50:41,470

arrive at being yeah a hundred this is

1375

00:50:45,660 --> 00:50:43,930

why I was saying like if I were to redo

1376

00:50:47,880 --> 00:50:45,670

this in a video format I would probably

1377

00:50:50,039 --> 00:50:47,890

have 15 steps there's a there's a

1378

00:50:52,470 --> 00:50:50,049

crucial step in between okay getting a

1379

00:50:55,770 --> 00:50:52,480

person's claim lockdown and

1380

00:50:57,090 --> 00:50:55,780

oh sure they are that it's true it's and

1381

00:50:59,790 --> 00:50:57,100

figuring out what method that they're

1382

00:51:01,620 --> 00:50:59,800

using to confirm all of us it's to

1383

00:51:04,830 --> 00:51:01,630

figure out what reason they're using to

1384

00:51:06,960 --> 00:51:04,840

believe so for example I guess I'll just

1385

00:51:09,750 --> 00:51:06,970

go back to the to the individual who

1386

00:51:10,859 --> 00:51:09,760

said that her grandmother was telling

1387

00:51:13,230 --> 00:51:10,869

you that you need you need to leave

1388

00:51:14,940 --> 00:51:13,240

water out for your deceased loved ones

1389

00:51:18,780 --> 00:51:14,950

because the spirits don't go away right

1390

00:51:20,430 --> 00:51:18,790

away I would ask and I did ask why why

1391

00:51:22,590 --> 00:51:20,440

do you think that what is your reason

1392

00:51:24,930 --> 00:51:22,600

what's your main justification and her

1393

00:51:26,520 --> 00:51:24,940

justification was well in the middle of

1394

00:51:29,910 --> 00:51:26,530

the night I'll hear footsteps going down

1395

00:51:31,710 --> 00:51:29,920

the hallway hmm that's her reason which

1396

00:51:36,450 --> 00:51:31,720

is which is different than method the

1397

00:51:38,370 --> 00:51:36,460

indeed yes and method would be you wanna

1398

00:51:42,990 --> 00:51:38,380

take a stab at or you will no no it's

1399

00:51:46,640 --> 00:51:43,000

like the it's it's basically asking them

1400

00:51:48,750 --> 00:51:46,650

what the evidence is the that they use

1401

00:51:50,250 --> 00:51:48,760

to believe it which is kind of like

1402

00:51:52,740 --> 00:51:50,260

community identifying the method is

1403

00:51:54,180 --> 00:51:52,750

identifying the method and the reasons I

1404

00:51:56,099 --> 00:51:54,190

guess you could put those together in

1405

00:51:57,990 --> 00:51:56,109

the same the same thing like you know

1406

00:52:01,050 --> 00:51:58,000

you're asking them why they're at a

1407

00:52:03,420 --> 00:52:01,060

hundred percent you know what what what

1408

00:52:05,670 --> 00:52:03,430

what reasons what evidence do you have

1409

00:52:07,440 --> 00:52:05,680

for this and then I guess that the

1410

00:52:09,390 --> 00:52:07,450

method is are you asking them about what

1411

00:52:11,670 --> 00:52:09,400

what type of logical steps they are

1412

00:52:13,859 --> 00:52:11,680

going through in their mind to arrive at

1413

00:52:15,480 --> 00:52:13,869

100% or are they calculating they're not

1414

00:52:17,310 --> 00:52:15,490

calculating the odds of being right

1415

00:52:19,349 --> 00:52:17,320

obviously not usually these are usually

1416

00:52:20,790 --> 00:52:19,359

just sort of subjective fly by the seat

1417

00:52:23,580 --> 00:52:20,800

of my pants type of number that I'm

1418

00:52:25,920 --> 00:52:23,590

gonna throw it no method would be so her

1419

00:52:28,200 --> 00:52:25,930

reason is I hear footsteps going down

1420

00:52:31,980 --> 00:52:28,210

the hallway and my grandmother says that

1421

00:52:34,290 --> 00:52:31,990

spirits get thirsty and I might even

1422

00:52:35,880 --> 00:52:34,300

talk to my grandma grandma who says oh

1423

00:52:37,290 --> 00:52:35,890

yeah those footsteps I heard them too

1424

00:52:39,840 --> 00:52:37,300

and that's that's your uncle that was

1425

00:52:42,180 --> 00:52:39,850

that was that was his spirit so

1426
00:52:46,680 --> 00:52:42,190
methodology epistemology it's how we

1427
00:52:49,349 --> 00:52:46,690
know things how how are we coming to

1428
00:52:52,080 --> 00:52:49,359
arrive at our conclusions so what I

1429
00:52:53,820 --> 00:52:52,090
would ask is how did you determine I

1430
00:52:55,590 --> 00:52:53,830
don't even dismiss the the footsteps

1431
00:52:57,720 --> 00:52:55,600
well we actually talked a little bit

1432
00:53:00,450 --> 00:52:57,730
about that if I remember right I said

1433
00:53:02,550 --> 00:53:00,460
something like you're calling them

1434
00:53:04,980 --> 00:53:02,560
footsteps are they footsteps where they

1435
00:53:06,339 --> 00:53:04,990
sounds that you're hearing that you're

1436
00:53:08,019 --> 00:53:06,349
calling footsteps

1437
00:53:10,689 --> 00:53:08,029
so we had a little bit of a discussion

1438
00:53:11,769 --> 00:53:10,699

about that which she said okay no that's

1439

00:53:13,479 --> 00:53:11,779

actually a good point you got me

1440

00:53:15,309 --> 00:53:13,489

thinking here there are sounds that I

1441

00:53:18,039 --> 00:53:15,319

think our footsteps but the crucial

1442

00:53:20,199 --> 00:53:18,049

piece the the biggest the biggest bang

1443

00:53:22,949 --> 00:53:20,209

for your buck when engaging somebody on

1444

00:53:27,130 --> 00:53:22,959

these claims is to get into their method

1445

00:53:28,989 --> 00:53:27,140

how how did you conclude that the sounds

1446

00:53:31,420 --> 00:53:28,999

that you're hearing I'm gonna go with

1447

00:53:33,099 --> 00:53:31,430

you on this you're hearing something how

1448

00:53:35,920 --> 00:53:33,109

did you determine that the sounds that

1449

00:53:38,849 --> 00:53:35,930

you're hearing are indeed the ghosts of

1450

00:53:41,229 --> 00:53:38,859

your deceased grandfather or uncle and

1451
00:53:42,219 --> 00:53:41,239
that's usually when people like that's

1452
00:53:43,390 --> 00:53:42,229
usually when you get those spider

1453
00:53:47,170 --> 00:53:43,400
moments mm-hmm

1454
00:53:50,259 --> 00:53:47,180
how could I differentiate that sound

1455
00:53:53,259 --> 00:53:50,269
from leprechauns pitter pattering down

1456
00:53:55,599 --> 00:53:53,269
the hallway or a secret Chinese program

1457
00:53:58,180 --> 00:53:55,609
that's designed to trick impressionable

1458
00:54:01,269 --> 00:53:58,190
college students on university campuses

1459
00:54:02,739 --> 00:54:01,279
or something yeah so when you start

1460
00:54:04,329 --> 00:54:02,749
talking about methodology or

1461
00:54:07,630 --> 00:54:04,339
epistemology that's usually when the

1462
00:54:09,400 --> 00:54:07,640
brick the brakes lock up on the train

1463
00:54:10,630 --> 00:54:09,410

that's barreling down the highway you

1464

00:54:12,910 --> 00:54:10,640

know that the trains don't go down

1465

00:54:14,920 --> 00:54:12,920

highways but that's usually where people

1466

00:54:16,900 --> 00:54:14,930

pause and think about things a little

1467

00:54:19,660 --> 00:54:16,910

bit better how can actually be so sure

1468

00:54:21,279 --> 00:54:19,670

that that is a good reason and I am I

1469

00:54:25,900 --> 00:54:21,289

being consistent that's another thing

1470

00:54:27,309 --> 00:54:25,910

too we got into a discussion about what

1471

00:54:30,069 --> 00:54:27,319

it would take to change her mind that it

1472

00:54:33,009 --> 00:54:30,079

wasn't spirits and she set this really

1473

00:54:34,239 --> 00:54:33,019

high bar for disproving it and then we

1474

00:54:36,640 --> 00:54:34,249

compared it to the bar that she was

1475

00:54:38,349 --> 00:54:36,650

setting for accepting it and then we

1476

00:54:39,640 --> 00:54:38,359

talked about the gap and she realized

1477

00:54:41,920 --> 00:54:39,650

that she was having a double standard

1478

00:54:44,109 --> 00:54:41,930

there too that's that's so much more

1479

00:54:45,969 --> 00:54:44,119

effective than just saying listen we've

1480

00:54:47,799 --> 00:54:45,979

done brain scans on bodies and when

1481

00:54:50,469 --> 00:54:47,809

people die that's it you know you're

1482

00:54:52,120 --> 00:54:50,479

worm food or something yeah that's not

1483

00:54:54,130 --> 00:54:52,130

going to help that person take another

1484

00:54:55,449 --> 00:54:54,140

look at their views and it's it's it's

1485

00:54:57,789 --> 00:54:55,459

so counterproductive to do it that way

1486

00:54:59,559 --> 00:54:57,799

it seems yeah and I think better the the

1487

00:55:01,749 --> 00:54:59,569

discussions I've had with conspiracy

1488

00:55:04,089 --> 00:55:01,759

theorists and a lot of the time like you

1489

00:55:06,670 --> 00:55:04,099

know I am just trying to giving them the

1490

00:55:08,289 --> 00:55:06,680

the facts of the matter like you know

1491

00:55:09,789 --> 00:55:08,299

explaining like this this trail that our

1492

00:55:13,150 --> 00:55:09,799

plane leaves behind it's actually a

1493

00:55:14,949 --> 00:55:13,160

contra and it's due to condensation of

1494

00:55:17,709 --> 00:55:14,959

like sometimes getting the science and

1495

00:55:19,680 --> 00:55:17,719

get some references but you know looking

1496

00:55:22,470 --> 00:55:19,690

back to it I can have wished that I

1497

00:55:24,870 --> 00:55:22,480

have been asking them about why they

1498

00:55:26,309 --> 00:55:24,880

believe what they believe I think that

1499

00:55:27,690 --> 00:55:26,319

would have been in the conversation

1500

00:55:30,000 --> 00:55:27,700

would have been a lot more productive

1501
00:55:31,859 --> 00:55:30,010
because they already believe that this

1502
00:55:34,380 --> 00:55:31,869
things if you've me telling them

1503
00:55:35,339 --> 00:55:34,390
something else doesn't change that that

1504
00:55:37,650 --> 00:55:35,349
they're convinced that's something

1505
00:55:39,960 --> 00:55:37,660
nefarious so a couple of approaches I

1506
00:55:42,000 --> 00:55:39,970
could suggest is to say how did you

1507
00:55:45,809 --> 00:55:42,010
conclude or why do you actually think

1508
00:55:47,309 --> 00:55:45,819
that these are poisonous what is it gas

1509
00:55:49,349 --> 00:55:47,319
is coming out of our planet maybe some

1510
00:55:50,880 --> 00:55:49,359
sometimes girls think that changing the

1511
00:55:53,220 --> 00:55:50,890
weather by spraying things in the a

1512
00:55:54,480 --> 00:55:53,230
secret later okay so why do you think

1513
00:55:56,190 --> 00:55:54,490

that that's that why do you think that

1514

00:55:58,680 --> 00:55:56,200

that's true what's your main reason why

1515

00:56:00,480 --> 00:55:58,690

you think that and they might give a

1516

00:56:02,819 --> 00:56:00,490

reason double check to make sure that it

1517

00:56:04,470 --> 00:56:02,829

is the reason if we work together to

1518

00:56:07,740 --> 00:56:04,480

figure out if that was a good reason and

1519

00:56:09,809 --> 00:56:07,750

we both agreed that it wasn't to your

1520

00:56:13,370 --> 00:56:09,819

satisfaction would you still be just as

1521

00:56:16,710 --> 00:56:13,380

confident in the claim they might say no

1522

00:56:19,290 --> 00:56:16,720

they might say yes so you really have to

1523

00:56:22,020 --> 00:56:19,300

kind of probe that another the second

1524

00:56:23,490 --> 00:56:22,030

tip I wanted to mention is ask them what

1525

00:56:25,290 --> 00:56:23,500

evidence would change their mind so

1526

00:56:26,970 --> 00:56:25,300

rather than just constantly giving

1527

00:56:28,829 --> 00:56:26,980

people things that you're gonna that you

1528

00:56:32,520 --> 00:56:28,839

found convincing or you think they will

1529

00:56:34,980 --> 00:56:32,530

find convincing ask them and hopefully

1530

00:56:37,020 --> 00:56:34,990

they give something reasonable if they

1531

00:56:39,030 --> 00:56:37,030

don't then you can discuss the gap in

1532

00:56:40,740 --> 00:56:39,040

the previous example but if they're

1533

00:56:42,390 --> 00:56:40,750

giving something reasonable and they

1534

00:56:44,010 --> 00:56:42,400

confirm to you that that's indeed what

1535

00:56:45,780 --> 00:56:44,020

would accept what they would accept to

1536

00:56:47,760 --> 00:56:45,790

lower their confidence then you can work

1537

00:56:49,319 --> 00:56:47,770

with them to give them that but what I

1538

00:56:52,380 --> 00:56:49,329

think you're gonna find is especially

1539

00:56:54,000 --> 00:56:52,390

people who are they're conspiratorial

1540

00:56:59,220 --> 00:56:54,010

minded and they think they have evidence

1541

00:57:00,599 --> 00:56:59,230

they seem to be really bad at figuring

1542

00:57:05,640 --> 00:57:00,609

out what evidence would change their

1543

00:57:06,780 --> 00:57:05,650

mind yeah that's where your focus I

1544

00:57:08,280 --> 00:57:06,790

think you I think you get a lot more

1545

00:57:13,140 --> 00:57:08,290

traction if you approached it that way

1546

00:57:14,550 --> 00:57:13,150

yeah that I I am actually gonna have to

1547

00:57:16,230 --> 00:57:14,560

wrap yourself early because my wife just

1548

00:57:17,160 --> 00:57:16,240

texted me and she's got a flat tire and

1549

00:57:21,329 --> 00:57:17,170

she needs me to help her

1550

00:57:23,069 --> 00:57:21,339

oh no ok that's right so how sure are

1551

00:57:25,500 --> 00:57:23,079

you that she actually has a flat she

1552

00:57:28,020 --> 00:57:25,510

sent me a photograph of it you have a

1553

00:57:29,190 --> 00:57:28,030

photograph yes okay I have evidence is

1554

00:57:31,099 --> 00:57:29,200

the confidence a little bit more that

1555

00:57:33,210 --> 00:57:31,109

she needs to see some help but yeah I

1556

00:57:36,880 --> 00:57:33,220

came because I

1557

00:57:38,769 --> 00:57:36,890

we were going through step 7 and step 8

1558

00:57:42,220 --> 00:57:38,779

but step 8 less than summarize question

1559

00:57:43,779 --> 00:57:42,230

watch and repeat which I think you know

1560

00:57:45,339 --> 00:57:43,789

it's basically just continuing the

1561

00:57:49,390 --> 00:57:45,349

conversation am I talking to them and

1562

00:57:51,519 --> 00:57:49,400

doing that over and over again yeah yeah

1563

00:57:53,049 --> 00:57:51,529

and maybe even direct them to resources

1564

00:57:55,120 --> 00:57:53,059

where they can learn this approach and

1565

00:57:58,420 --> 00:57:55,130

start doing it on their own views and

1566

00:58:00,430 --> 00:57:58,430

and trying not to overwhelm the person

1567

00:58:02,380 --> 00:58:00,440

to try it you noticing what's happening

1568

00:58:03,609 --> 00:58:02,390

during the conversation to see you know

1569

00:58:04,720 --> 00:58:03,619

I think my questions are really

1570

00:58:06,759 --> 00:58:04,730

overwhelming them I think this might be

1571

00:58:08,710 --> 00:58:06,769

a good time to end it let's just wrap it

1572

00:58:11,140 --> 00:58:08,720

up and maybe pick it up next week yeah

1573

00:58:12,880 --> 00:58:11,150

no I I yeah I just want to thank you

1574

00:58:15,130 --> 00:58:12,890

thank you for doing this and sorry man

1575

00:58:17,620 --> 00:58:15,140

sit could it our shores but I I

1576

00:58:19,150 --> 00:58:17,630

understand we don't we did an hour which

1577

00:58:21,339 --> 00:58:19,160

is what I normally do it's a fascinating

1578

00:58:23,170 --> 00:58:21,349

conversation and I think I could easily

1579

00:58:25,210 --> 00:58:23,180

do another hour so if you Europe for

1580

00:58:27,009 --> 00:58:25,220

doing another talk sometime I would like

1581

00:58:29,009 --> 00:58:27,019

salutely and we delve and a little bit

1582

00:58:31,269 --> 00:58:29,019

more about the conspiracy theory

1583

00:58:33,370 --> 00:58:31,279

crossover and the other aspects like

1584

00:58:34,690 --> 00:58:33,380

politics and things like that I think

1585

00:58:36,370 --> 00:58:34,700

that'd be fun maybe we can see what the

1586

00:58:37,990 --> 00:58:36,380

reaction is to this episode and then if

1587

00:58:39,970 --> 00:58:38,000

there's if there's a demand maybe we do

1588

00:58:42,009 --> 00:58:39,980

something okay great all right well

1589

00:58:44,620 --> 00:58:42,019

thank you very much thank you for having

1590

00:58:47,170 --> 00:58:44,630

me on okay how often now got off to

1591

00:58:47,920 --> 00:58:47,180

rescue my wife okay sounds good all

1592

00:58:48,940 --> 00:58:47,930

right okay